

The Tumblagooda Sandstone revisited: exceptionally abundant trace fossils and geological outcrop provide a window onto Palaeozoic littoral habitats before invertebrate terrestrialization

Journal:	<i>Geological Magazine</i>
Manuscript ID	GEO-19-2291.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Shillito, Anthony; University of Cambridge, Department of Earth Sciences; University of Oxford, Department of Earth Sciences Davies, Neil; University of Cambridge, Department of Earth Sciences
Keywords:	Ichnology, Intertidal, Gondwana, Sedimentology, Devonian, Silurian

The Tumblagooda Sandstone revisited: exceptionally abundant trace fossils and geological outcrop provide a window onto Palaeozoic littoral habitats before invertebrate terrestrialization

ANTHONY P. SHILLITO^{1,2*} and NEIL S. DAVIES¹

¹*Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EQ, United Kingdom.*

²*Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3AN, United Kingdom (current address of corresponding author)*

**Correspondence (as2195@cam.ac.uk)*

Running Headline: Tumblagooda Sandstone ichnology

ABSTRACT

The establishment of permanent animal communities on land was a defining event in the history of evolution, and one for which the ichnofauna and facies of the Tumblagooda Sandstone of Western Australia have been considered an archetypal case study. However, terrestrialization can only be understood from the rock record with conclusive sedimentological evidence for non-marine deposition, and original fieldwork on the formation shows that a marine influence was pervasive throughout all trace fossil-bearing strata. Four distinct facies associations are described, deposited in fluvial, tidal, and estuarine settings. Here we explain the controversies surrounding the age and depositional environment of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, many of which have arisen due to the challenges in distinguishing marine from non-marine depositional settings in lower Palaeozoic successions. We clarify the terminological inconsistency that has hindered such

determination, and demonstrate how palaeoenvironmental explanations can be expanded out from **unambiguously indicative** sedimentary structures. The Tumblagooda Sandstone provides a unique insight into an early Palaeozoic ichnofauna that was strongly partitioned by patchy resource distribution in a littoral setting. The influence of outcrop style and quality is accounted for **to contextualize** this ichnofauna, revealing 6 distinct low disparity groups of trace fossil associations, each related to a different sub-environment within the high ichnodisparity broad depositional setting. **The formation is compared** with contemporaneous ichnofaunas **to examine its continued significance to understanding the terrestrialization process**. Despite not recording permanent non-marine communities, the Tumblagooda Sandstone provides a detailed picture of the realm left behind by the first invertebrate pioneers of terrestrialization.

KEY WORDS

Ichnology; Intertidal; Silurian; Devonian; Sedimentology; Gondwana

1. Terrestrialization and the Tumblagooda Sandstone

The colonization of subaerial and non-marine landscapes by animals and plants was a defining, singular and irreversible innovation during the co-evolution of Earth and its biosphere (Vecoli et al., 2010; Vermeij & Grosberg, 2010; Kenrick et al., 2012). After the first metazoans evolved in the latest Precambrian, they remained either permanently or usually restricted to marine habitats for tens of millions of years (Minter et al., 2016a), before the terrestrialization of life resulted in non-marine fauna and habitats which have persisted as a functional characteristic of the planetary surface for the remainder of the Phanerozoic.

1
2
3 44 The global trace fossil record is particularly valuable evidence for the historical onset,
4
5
6 45 development and operation of the terrestrialization process. Burrows, trackways and other
7
8 46 biological constructions are intensive properties of the sedimentary rock record and so are
9
10
11 47 not diminished by the same taphonomic filters as the body fossil record is (Holland, 2016;
12
13 48 Davies et al., 2020), thus having the potential to reveal a more accurate census of early
14
15
16 49 terrestrial communities (when trace-makers can be inferred). Additionally, they provide
17
18 50 tangible evidence of ancient, *in situ* organism-sediment interactions within physical habitats
19
20
21 51 that cannot be examined by any other means (Buatois et al., 1998; Minter et al., 2016a,b,
22
23 52 2017; Buatois & Mángano, 2018).

24
25
26 53 Our current understanding of the ichnological record reveals that arthropods were the
27
28
29 54 earliest pioneer animals to make forays onto land. Evidence for sporadic excursions onto
30
31
32 55 subaerially-exposed substrates by marine-dwelling arthropods can be witnessed in Cambrian
33
34
35 56 and Ordovician successions worldwide, where sedimentological evidence proves that
36
37
38 57 trackway-hosting strata were deposited in littoral environments, often demonstrably within
39
40
41 58 metres of the marine shoreline (MacNaughton et al., 2002; Collette et al., 2010; Davies et al.,
42
43
44 59 2011; Davies & Gibling, 2012; McIlroy, 2012; Minter et al., 2016a; MacNaughton et al., 2018;
45
46
47 60 Shillito & Davies, 2019a). In younger strata, deposited around the Silurian-Devonian
48
49
50 61 boundary, unequivocal instances of fully non-marine trace fossil communities occur (Minter
51
52
53 62 et al., 2016b, 2017; Buatois & Mángano, 2018); their environmental preferences
54
55
56 63 demonstrated by close association with diagnostic non-marine sedimentary phenomena
57
58
59 64 including palaeosols, certain fluvial architectural styles, and *in situ* plant or root fossils (e.g.,
60
61
62 65 Marriott & Wright, 1993; Griffing et al., 2000; Davies & Gibling, 2010). The earliest (Late
63
64
65 66 Silurian) established non-marine trace fossil assemblages have a global distribution, and
66

1
2
3 67 provide evidence for arthropod terrestrialization that, as expected, is marginally older than
4
5
6 68 that afforded by the earliest (Lochkovian) air breathing body fossils (Wilson & Anderson,
7
8 69 2004; Shillito & Davies, 2017; Suarez et al., 2017).
9

10
11 70 Amongst the global compendium of sites that bear testimony to the terrestrialization
12
13
14 71 process, the Tumblagooda Sandstone of the Southern Carnarvon Basin, Western Australia
15
16 72 (Figure 1), is particularly prominent. Twenty discrete ichnogenera have been reported from
17
18
19 73 this unit, and the first papers to fully document this ichnofauna suggested that the host strata
20
21 74 were deposited by aeolian and fluvial processes in a late Silurian non-marine environment
22
23
24 75 (Trewin, 1993a, 1993b; Trewin & McNamara, 1994). However, controversy surrounds the
25
26 76 Tumblagooda Sandstone for two reasons: Firstly, the age of the unit has subsequently been
27
28 77 reinterpreted, from indirect evidence, to be Ordovician (Mory et al., 1998; Iasky & Mory,
29
30 78 1999) and, secondly, an alternative explanation for the depositional environment - one in
31
32
33 79 which the trace fossil bearing strata were deposited in shallow marine settings - has
34
35
36 80 repeatedly been put forward (Hocking, 1991; Bradley et al., 2018). The uncertainty that now
37
38 81 surrounds the unit is in urgent need of clarification because: 1) examples of its trace fossils
39
40
41 82 are regularly used to ascertain the stratigraphic ranges of non-marine ichnotaxa (e.g. Netto,
42
43 83 2006) and ichnofacies (e.g. Krapovickas et al., 2016); 2) the apparent existence of diverse non-
44
45
46 84 marine trace fossils with a revised Ordovician age has been used in support of equivocal
47
48 85 claims of trace fossils in pre-Silurian non-marine strata elsewhere (see discussions in
49
50 86 McNamara, 2008, and Davies et al., 2011); and 3) regardless of age or environment, the
51
52
53 87 Tumblagooda Sandstone hosts one of the most diverse and abundant collections of arthropod
54
55 88 trace fossils in the entire rock record, and the reasons for its ichnological richness have not
56
57
58 89 been fully explored.
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

This paper seeks to address the uncertainty surrounding the trace fossils of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, and the significance that they have for understanding invertebrate terrestrialization, using data and observations collected during 50 days of original fieldwork on the unit, undertaken in August 2014, May 2016, and September 2017. In the following sections, we first review some of the controversies that have arisen regarding the age and environment of the Tumblagooda Sandstone (Section 2). We then present new sedimentological (Section 3) and ichnological (Section 4) evidence that demonstrates a marine influence throughout much of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in particular those strata with the highest diversity and disparity of trace fossils. We conclude by comparing the new information from the Tumblagooda Sandstone with other lower Palaeozoic successions, to demonstrate that, rather than being a crucible of terrestrialization, the unit is better understood as hosting an archetypal littoral ichnofauna that was imparted shortly before the full arthropod invasion of the land.

2. Geological Background and Controversy

The Tumblagooda Sandstone is a 1210 meter-thick (at outcrop) succession of strata composed almost entirely of medium- to coarse-grained sandstones, with mudrocks and conglomerates making up <1% of the unit. It was deposited at the intersection of the Southern Carnarvon and Perth Basins, and presently crops out, with a typically gentle tectonic dip, over an area of >7000 km² in a number of well-exposed coastal cliff and inland river gorge sections around Kalbarri National Park, Western Australia. Gorge sections along the Murchison River provide a full transect through most of the thickness of the unit (Figure 1).

2.a. Age

The Tumblagooda Sandstone contains no direct indicators of its age. It is unfossiliferous with the exception of a single endemic body fossil with no biostratigraphic significance: the euthycarcinoid *Kalbarria brimmellae* (McNamara & Trewin, 1993; McNamara, 2014). Early survey work considered the unit to be Upper Cretaceous (Clarke & Teichert, 1948) from fossils in the locally overlying “Butte Sandstone” (now the Birdrong Formation [Condon, 1965]), however, this contact was later identified as unconformable (Condon, 1965) and a Cambrian to Ordovician age was proposed, based upon the ichnofauna (Öpik, 1959). Hocking (1991) showed a Cambrian age to be implausible because lead mineralization in the underlying basement (the Proterozoic Northampton Complex), is dated at 434 +/- 16 Ma (Richards et al., 1985; Bruguier et al., 1999) but is absent from the Tumblagooda Sandstone. This implied a maximum Llandovery (and likely late Silurian) age, and was considered most probable when the first detailed ichnological work was performed on the unit (Trewin, 1993b; Trewin & McNamara, 1994).

Subsequently, the unit has been considered to be Ordovician based on the presence of Llandovery-aged conodont fossils in the Dirk Hartog Group (DHG); a 650-740 m thick succession of dolomites and evaporites, with subordinate siliciclastic strata (Gorter et al., 1994; Mory et al., 1998; Iasky & Mory, 1999; Figure 2, Figure 3). No direct field evidence exists to demonstrate the DHG-Tumblagooda stratigraphic relationship because the DHG is known only from the Carnarvon Basin centre, 400 km north of the nearest Tumblagooda Sandstone exposures on the basin margin (Figure 2). However, Mory et al. (1998) and Iasky & Mory (1999) inferred that sandstone lithologies underneath the DHG, revealed in boreholes from the basin centre, were part of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, based upon correlation of cores and seismic subcrop data (Figure 2). This interpretation relies on the assumption that there is

135 no lateral facies variation or diachroneity up to 400 km north of its known outcrop. There is
 136 reason to question this, because (1) facies are demonstrably variable and diachronous even
 137 within exposed outcrop of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, and (2) the main exposure of the unit
 138 appears to have been deposited in a nearshore/coastal environment where the palaeo-
 139 shoreline was oriented approximately east-west, with more marine conditions towards the
 140 basin centre in the north (see Section 3). A plausible alternative explanation is therefore that
 141 the Tumblagooda Sandstone and DHG are chronostratigraphically-equivalent units, with the
 142 latter being an offshore, basin-centre correlative to the marginal siliciclastic strata of the
 143 Tumblagooda Sandstone (Figure 3). Ultimately, the true relationship between the
 144 Tumblagooda Sandstone and the units known from boreholes to the north remains uncertain,
 145 but this explanation **would accord with** the sedimentary environmental context of the unit.

146 **Detrital** zircon dates from the Tumblagooda Sandstone set a maximum possible age at
 147 466 +/- 8 Ma (**Markwitz et al., 2017**). **When considered alongside** the c. 430 Ma Rb-Sr **ages**
 148 for regional uplift across southwestern Australia (Libby and De Laeter, 1998; **McNamara,**
 149 **2014**), **which were** dated using biotites from the Yilgarn Craton (a minor **provenance** source
 150 for the Tumblagooda Sandstone; Kettanah et al., 2015), **there is circumstantial evidence that**
 151 **the unit is likely Silurian. Our fieldwork supports the contention that there are no palaeosols**
 152 **in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in agreement with previous work** (Hocking, 1991; Trewin,
 153 1993b; Evans et al., 2007; McNamara, 2014; Kettanah et al., 2015; Bradley et al., 2018). **As**
 154 **such, there is no evidence for** the palaeosol 'pedostratigraphy' of Retallack (2009), who
 155 considered the Tumblagooda Sandstone to have been deposited continually throughout the
 156 entire 45 Ma duration of the Ordovician Period.

157 **2.b. Depositional Environment**

The Tumblagooda Sandstone was originally described as a deltaic deposit (Teichert, 1947), and considered largely non-marine (e.g. Veevers, 1971) until detailed sedimentological work by Hocking (1991), who logged 45 sections totalling almost all of the unit's stratigraphic thickness. Using these sections, he divided the unit into four main facies associations (FA1-FA4), which have subsequently been utilized as informal stratigraphic members by other researchers. FA1 was described as a low-sinuosity, braided fluvial association (Hocking, 1991), based upon the unidirectional palaeocurrent measurements and textural immaturity of the predominantly trough cross bedded sandstone. FA2 was described as an intertidal facies association due to the abundant bioturbation and sedimentary structures including ripple marks and various adhesion marks (Hocking, 1991). Trewin (1993b) later reinterpreted FA2 as fluvial and aeolian deposits, while the most recent interpretation of FA2 (Bradley et al., 2018) considered it to have been deposited in a macrotidal estuary setting. Hocking (1991) interpreted FA3 as a low-sinuosity high-energy braided fluvial association, similar to FA1, due to its unidirectional palaeoflow indicators, coarse grain size and dominant trough cross-bedding. FA4 was interpreted as having been deposited in a protected interdistributary bay, on sand flats and within channels, due to the near total absence of reworking by tides or waves and the presence of bioturbation (Hocking, 1991). Evans et al. (2007) later divided FA3-FA4 into a series of constituent facies reflecting fluvio-deltaic deposition.

The significance of the Tumblagooda Sandstone to the understanding of terrestrialization has arisen because Trewin and McNamara's (1994) description of the Tumblagooda ichnofauna followed the non-marine reinterpretation of FA2 strata by Trewin (1993b). However, such interpretations are equivocal for the following reasons:

1
2
3 180 1. Fluvial. Trewin (1993b) interpreted trough cross-bedded sandstones in FA2 as having
4
5
6 181 been deposited by small fluvial channels, but besides the similar palaeocurrent directions to
7
8 182 FA1 there is little compelling evidence for this. A plausible alternative explanation is that the
9
10 183 deposits record marine subaqueous barforms.

11
12
13
14 184 2. Aeolian. Trewin (1993b) identified two facies in FA2 that he suggested had an aeolian
15
16 185 origin: A) Low angle cross-bedded sandstones. These occur as fine- to medium-grained, well
17
18 186 sorted sandstone, forming low angle cross beds as part of low amplitude (typically <50 cm)
19
20 187 features. Trewin (1993b) suggested that reactivation surfaces and internal millimetre scale
21
22 188 lamination could be explained by aeolian deposition; an interpretation that he supported by
23
24 189 citing the lack of water-lain sedimentary structures and bioturbation. B) Sub-parallel bedded
25
26 190 sand sheets: These he reported to contain diagnostic aeolian features, including millimetre
27
28 191 scale 'pinstripe' lamination, and coarse highly spherical 'millet-seed' grains. Such features are
29
30 192 conspicuous within parts of FA2 and do appear to reflect some aeolian contribution to
31
32 193 deposition, but are far outweighed by more abundant evidence for subaqueous deposition
33
34 194 and emergence of wet substrates throughout FA2 (e.g., ripple marked and adhesion marked
35
36 195 surfaces).

34 196 **3. Sedimentary facies of the Tumblagooda Sandstone**

35
36
37
38 197 Understanding the degree of marine influence during the deposition of the
39
40 198 Tumblagooda Sandstone is prerequisite for determining its context within the global record
41
42 199 of the terrestrialization process. However, differentiating marine and non-marine strata is
43
44 200 problematic in early Palaeozoic, fossil-poor siliciclastic deposits where there are few
45
46 201 indicators that can reliably be used to distinguish marine versus non-marine subaqueous
47
48 202 deposits (McMahon & Davies, 2018; Wizevich et al., 2019). This issue is heightened during

203 transitional phases in evolutionary history where ichnofacies may be in a state of flux as new
204 environmental niches are exploited (Davies et al., 2006; Shillito & Davies, 2017).

205 Ultimately, asking whether a specific formation (or even individual bed) is “marine” or
206 “non-marine” can be an intractable question, for a number of reasons: 1) there may be
207 practical issues of a lack of diagnostic evidence; 2) there may be both marine and non-marine
208 influences active during the duration of accrual of the strata; and 3) they may have been
209 deposited in an environment that could, even instantaneously, be considered both “marine”
210 and “non-marine”. The latter two issues are particularly pertinent, because they relate to the
211 fact that there is no universal spatio-temporal boundary between “marine” and “non-marine”
212 in the natural world, and emphasise the need for caution even where there are tangible clues
213 to the physical environment. Even in modern environments, whether an environment is
214 “marine” or “non-marine” depends on what parameters are being used to define the terms.
215 The landward extent of marine physical, biological and chemical processes are often
216 disharmonic: for example, in an estuarine setting, the landward limit of marine energy
217 influence (e.g., waves or tidal bores) is not the same as the landward extent of saltwater or
218 brackish water, or the landward limit of (e.g., amphidromous) marine organisms (e.g.,
219 Dalrymple & Choi, 2007). This implies that there are few ‘smoking guns’, in terms of
220 sedimentary characteristics, that are individually diagnostic within sedimentary rocks.
221 Additionally, even when the “marine”/“non-marine” boundary is defined by just one of the
222 potential physical, chemical or biological limits, temporally, such boundaries can fluctuate on
223 timescales of hours (e.g., within a tidal prism), seasons, or up to thousands of years: an issue
224 that is especially pertinent to interpreting marine influence from the rock record because

these are all timescales over which a loose sediment substrate could be exposed to environmental influence, prior to its lithification as a stratum of sedimentary rock.

The inherent uncertainty is even further complicated by the inconsistent usage of multiple near-synonyms in published literature (e.g., “continental” or “terrestrial” instead of “non-marine”). As such issues are of direct relevance to understanding the terrestrialization process, we here offer definitions of pertinent terms in Figure 4 and Table 1. “Marine” and “non-marine” are considered to be non-exclusive, overlapping descriptors of general environment, and are shown in relation to other related concepts: “saline”, “brackish” and “freshwater”, which refer to water chemistry and are only rarely diagnosable from the sedimentary record, and “subaqueous”, “subaerial” and “emergent”, which refer to the direct influences on sediment deposition and patterning. The latter three descriptors are commonly conclusively diagnosable from sedimentary rocks, but carry no implication of whether marine waters were involved. Furthermore, due to potential palimpsesting of trace fossils onto substrates where the degree of submergence may have been in flux (Davies et al., 2016), additional evidence for the order of events may be required to confirm the substrate state at the time that organisms interacted with it (although, practically, such evidence may be lacking). Recognising the full extent of marine influence requires combining and explaining multiple individual strands of evidence, as discussed for the Tumblagooda Sandstone in Section 5.

3.a. Facies Association 1

3.a.1. FA1 – Description

The majority of the exposure of FA1 occurs stratigraphically below FA2, as steeply dipping strata within the Hardabut Anticline (Hocking, 1991). The tectonic dip decreases downstream along the Murchison River and is approximately flat-lying at Ross Graham and Hawks Head (Figure 1, locations 1-3). Above the first occurrences of FA2, a thick band of trough cross bedded sandstone assigned to FA1 is traceable from these locations north along the river as far as Fourways (Figure 1, location 6). Cumulatively, FA1 accounts for approximately 460 m (38% of total stratigraphic thickness) of the Tumblagooda Sandstone.

Facies Association 1 is comprised of medium to coarse grained trough cross-bedded sandstones, with occasional sandstone intraclasts and quartz pebbles (Figure 5A). Mudrock is absent. Cross beds occur in sets typically ranging from 15-60 cm thick, with rare instances up to 2.5 m thick. Palaeoflow measurements taken from foresets record a consistent flow direction to the north-west (Figure 5E). FA1 only crops out as vertical cliffs, with negligible bedding plane exposures, so any sedimentary structures can only be viewed in cross-section. Convolute bedding (up to 50 cm thick) and scoured tops of cross bedded sets are common, along with infrequent ripple cross-lamination. No trace fossils have been identified within FA1, either in this or previous studies.

3.a.2. Facies Association 1 – Interpretation

The strongly unidirectional palaeoflow and ubiquitous trough cross bedding support previous interpretations (Hocking, 1991) that FA1 was deposited in a braided fluvial setting. The fluvial sediments were apparently not colonized by burrowing organisms.

3.b. Facies Association 2

3.b.1. FA2 – Description

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Rocks belonging to FA2 crop out from just upstream of Ross Graham Lookout (Figure 1, location 1) to Mount Yooadda Darlinoo (Figure 1, location 13). They are frequently interbedded with FA1 in the lower reaches of the succession, with scattered thin bioturbated beds of FA2 increasing in frequency upwards through 20 m of strata until they make up most of the exposure at Ross Graham Lookout. The upper boundary between FA2 and FA3 rocks was not observed in this study, but Hocking (1991) reports that it is an abrupt transition from the dominantly planar laminated and bioturbated sandstones into the thick trough cross bedded packages of FA3, with no intercalation. The total thickness of FA2 is approximately 410 m (34% of the total stratigraphic thickness of the formation).

Facies Association 2 is the most sedimentologically and ichnologically diverse part of the succession (Figure 5B). The stepped style of outcrop exposure that is prevalent throughout FA2 reveals many bedding surfaces as well as vertical sections through beds. Sedimentary surface textures and surficial trace fossils are abundant on bedding planes, with ripple marks and adhesion marks co-occurring along with diverse burrows and trackways of FA2 (Figure 6). Five lithofacies are recognised: 1. planar bedded sandstones (c. 45% of FA2); 2. medium scale cross bedded sandstones (c. 45% of FA2); 3. densely bioturbated sandstones (c. 10% of FA2); 4. Herringbone cross-stratified sandstones (< 1% of FA2); and 5. Pinstripe laminated sandstone (< 1% of FA2). These lithofacies are interbedded with variable frequency.

1. FA2a: Planar bedded medium-coarse sandstones. These typically occur as packages of 2-5 cm thick beds, with some beds up to 20 cm thick. Ripple and climbing ripple lamination are observed in vertical sections, and abundant surface textures occur on bedding planes. Ripple marks occur in symmetrical and asymmetrical forms which locally show evidence of

having been washed out or deflected, or occur as ladder ripples (Figure 7A-C). Some ripple marks contain water-level markings on their flanks, (Figure 7H) and one instance of weakly-apparent V-shaped markings on a bedding plane was noted at Fourways, resembling the patterns that develop when water drains across a flat surface (Daerr et al., 2003) (Figure 7G). Adhesion marks occur in high concentrations in FA2, both in association with ripple marks and in isolation, including adhesion warts, setulfs (inverted 'flutes' that record wind erosion of damp substrates [Friedman & Sanders, 1974]) and adhesion ripples (Figure 7D-F). Together these bedding plane markings reveal the existence of 'true substrates' (*sensu* Davies & Shillito, 2018) that indicate that the bedding planes are preserved sediment-fluid interfaces from the time of deposition.

The true substrates host a range of different trace fossils, both solitary and in infrequent dense patches, dominated by trackways but with short vertical burrows with resting traces and horizontal burrows also present (*Beaconites*, *Crescentichnus*, *Cruziana*, *Didymaulichnus*, *Didymauliponomos*, *Diplichnites*, *Fascifodina*, *Heimdallia*, *Helicodromites*, *Paleohelcura*, *Paradictyodora*, *Planolites*, *Polarichnus*, *Protichnites*, *Psammichnites*, *Rusophycus*, *Siskemia*, *Skolithos*, *Taenidium*, *Trusheimichnus*, *Tumblagoodichnus*). These are accompanied on some surfaces by bubble marks (Figure 8).

2. FA2b: Planar- and trough- cross bedded sandstones. These occur as 20 to 60 cm thick packages of fine-coarse grained sandstone. Cross-bedded packages can be traced along the dip direction of the foresets on the scale of tens of metres, with a particularly prominent example of such observed at The Loop (Figure 9). These are distinct from the cross bedded sandstones of FA1 because they host a number of trace fossils, both in vertical section cross-

cutting bedding, and on the foresets in association with sedimentary surface textures such as ripple marks and adhesion marks (Figure 9C-D).

3. FA2c: Densely bioturbated sandstones. These are fine to coarse grained sandstone beds, ranging from 10 cm to 40 cm in thickness, that are densely bioturbated with 6 mm to 13 mm J-shaped burrows, predominantly belonging to the ichnogenus *Heimdallia* (with subordinate *Paradictyodora*) and often overprinted with *Tumblagoodichnus* from overlying beds. The beds are typically individual and separated by 0.1 m and 2 m of less bioturbated strata, but less frequently can be seen stacked directly on top of one another. In many instances all original sedimentary bedding surface features are absent due to total overprinting with burrows but, in less densely bioturbated examples, ripple marks can be observed on bedding surfaces. In vertical section, sedimentary structures are totally overprinted by the ichnofabric. This lithofacies typically weathers proud of the walls of the river gorges, forming a large proportion of stepped platforms throughout the exposure of FA2.

4. FA2d: Herringbone cross-stratified sandstone. Apparent bi-directional cross-strata are not uncommon within FA2, and one 50 cm thick instance at The Loop can be conclusively diagnosed as herringbone cross-strata due to the three-dimensional nature of the outcrop (Figure 10A). Asymmetric ripples occur over the top of cross bedded medium sandstone dipping towards the NNE, and are succeeded by cross beds dipping to the SSW. 12.5 m stratigraphically below this, ripples climbing to the south top medium cross bedded sandstone dipping to the north (Figure 10). This same section contains a solitary occurrence of mud-drapes overlying asymmetric sand ripples, where the mud-drapes have been worked into a symmetric ripple form that is discordant with the underlying sediment (Figure 10B).

5. FA2e: Pinstripe laminated sandstone. This is observed in two 20-cm thick packages approximately 70 cm apart near the base of the section at Z-Bend. It comprises very finely laminated fine-medium sandstone, lacking any bioturbation or physical sedimentary structures. Sand grains are very well rounded and have a frosted appearance. In one of the examples, the fine laminations are truncated by an irregular surface of coarser sediment lag, potentially recording a deflation surface (Figure 11).

3.b.2. FA2 – Interpretation

The five lithofacies within FA2 reflect deposition in distinct littoral sub-environments, predominantly under subaqueous conditions but with evidence for recurrent subaerial reworking of substrates.

FA2a, the planar bedded medium-coarse sandstones, exhibit diverse ripple marks (Figure 7A-C, H-I) that were formed during subaqueous sediment motion, and adhesion warts (Figure 7D-F) formed during intermittent periods of emergence, when dry sand was blown across, and adhered to, damp substrates. Evidence of draining water is seen in the ladder ripples and water-level marks of this lithofacies. Bubble marks are potentially circumstantial evidence for microbial films covering some substrates (Davies et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2017): such would not be unexpected in an emergent littoral environment but there is no accessory evidence to definitively confirm such an interpretation.

FA2b, sets of fine-coarse grained cross bedded sandstone, shows evidence of periods of emergence during their deposition through adhesion marks on their foresets (Figure 9). This lithofacies can be attributed to subaqueous dunes that occasionally became subaerially exposed. Some of the foresets host arthropod trackways, indicating that they were traversed

358 during intervals of sedimentary stasis associated with emergence. Vertical burrows cutting
359 through the cross beds originate only from topsets (Figure 9), indicating that they were
360 emplaced after resubmergence.

361 FA2c, densely bioturbated sandstones, bear physical similarities with the planar bedded
362 sandstones, but there is no evidence to suggest that the burrows were generated subaerially.
363 Occasional overprinting by adhesion marks proves that the burrows were excavated under
364 subaqueous conditions and later emergent. Due to the penetrative nature of the burrows
365 they cross-cut through earlier sediment layers that were both subaqueously deposited and
366 intermittently emergent.

367 Hocking (1991) reports restricted occurrences of bioturbated medium grained
368 sandstones containing *Heimdallia* in thin (< 30 cm) planar beds identical to these bioturbated
369 horizons from the top of FA1, 35 m below the first reported occurrence of FA2. These few
370 horizons do not conform to the description of FA1 as coarse, trough-cross bedded sandstones,
371 and do not occur in the lower 400 m of exposed stratigraphy. As there is strong evidence for
372 the interbedding of FA1 and FA2 after this point at Ross Graham Lookout and downstream
373 from there, we consider these bioturbated beds to instead mark the first occurrence of FA2
374 in the stratigraphy.

375 The above three lithofacies indicate only that the strata of FA2 were subaqueously
376 deposited and recurrently emergent. However, FA2d, the instances of herringbone cross-
377 stratified sandstone and mud-draped ripple marks provide very strong evidence from the
378 Tumblagooda Sandstone of a tidal influence to sedimentation, and is incompatible with the
379 fluvial-aeolian depositional model proposed by Trewin (1993a). Evidence for both repeated
380 flow direction reversal and stillstand conditions (including evidence for a switch from directed

currents to oscillatory currents during waning flow: Fig. 11.B) are common motifs in tidal settings. Whilst, in isolation, these could potentially have plural environmental explanations (Dalrymple, 2010), the weight of evidence from the combined suite of sedimentary structures within this lithofacies implicate fully submerged and marine conditions. The close association of FA2d with the other lithofacies of FA2 suggests that it was marginally offshore from the other littoral lithofacies, the intermittent emergence of which can be best explained by their having been deposited within an intertidal prism between maximum high and low tide.

Fa2e, the pinstripe laminated sandstone with highly rounded, frosted grains, provides a counterpoint to this, as it is direct evidence for aeolian deposition. The occurrence of this lithofacies within FA2 can be explained as a marginally supratidal or high intertidal setting within a broad sandy tidal flat environment.

In summary, there is very strong direct evidence that FA2 was deposited within a tidally-influenced environment. There is little evidence for fully subaerial deposition apart from the two instances of FA2e, but evidence for the subaerial reworking and emergence of subaqueously-deposited sand is a common motif. In agreement with other interpretations (Hocking, 1991; Bradley et al., 2018), the recognition that FA2 can only represent littoral deposition on tidal sand flats means that the fluvial-aeolian depositional model for FA2 of the Tumblagooda Sandstone (Trewin, 1993a, b; Trewin & McNamara, 1994; McNamara, 2014) must be rejected. This has crucial implications for the significance of the unit for understanding the terrestrialization process. Close association between arthropod trackways and emergent indicators shows that some organisms were traversing subaerial substrates, but all of these substrates were littoral and likely resubmerged within a diurnal or synodic tidal cycle: there is no evidence that any of these tracemakers were permanent non-marine

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

denizens. Significantly, there is no evidence that any of the burrows in FA2 were created subaerially, and their partial reworking by adhesion structures implies that they were fully subaqueous constructions that were either abandoned or used as refuges during low tide (see Sections 4 and 5 for more detail on the environmental preferences of these traces).

3.c. Facies Association 3

3.c.1. FA3 – Description

Exposures of FA3 occur in the Murchison River Gorge downstream of Mount Yooadda Darlinoo (Figure 1, location 13) where it occurs as stepped outcrops, and vertical coastal cliffs near Kalbarri (Figure 12). Wave-cut ledges revealing bedding planes occur along the entire length of the coastal exposures from Jake’s Point (Figure 1, location 20) to Grandstand Rock (Figure 1, location 24). The total thickness of FA3 is measured as approximately 280 m (23% of the total stratigraphic thickness of the Tumblagooda Sandstone).

Facies Association 3 consists almost entirely of stacked, medium to very coarse-grained, trough cross-bedded sandstones with frequent pebbly horizons (Figure 5C). Trough cross-bedded co-sets are separated by second-order (*sensu* Allen, 1983) bounding surfaces which are typically near-horizontal (Figure 13 photo F). Occasionally channelized scour pathways cut across these surfaces, resulting in topographic lows lined with coarse gravel lags (Davies & Shillito, 2018 - their Figure 1B). In the upper part of FA3 these trough cross bedded sandstones are occasionally separated by thin planar bedded packages of medium to coarse grained sandstone containing vertical burrows. Measurements from foresets indicate a strongly unimodal palaeoflow to the northwest (Figure 5G).

With the exception of ubiquitous cross-bedding, few other physical sedimentary structures are seen in FA3, and no ripple marks or any other sedimentary surface features are seen on bedding planes. Trace fossils are infrequent and consist only of the horizontal trail *Psammichnites*, and, restricted to the top of the facies association, *Planolites* and *Skolithos*. The *Psammichnites* traces are notable because they can be seen to colonize the tops of truncated dunes, revealed by planform cross-sectional exposures of foresets. This implies that some of the erosional bounding surfaces are true substrates – in other words, not every erosional disruption of the mobile bedforms was immediately followed by further sedimentation, but rather by an interval of sedimentary stasis (Davies & Shillito, 2018).

The facies association contains the only mudstone in the whole Tumblagooda Sandstone (with the exception of the singular mud-draped ripple horizon in FA2d [Figure 10B]), but this is not *in situ*, instead occurring as rare intraclasts up to 10 cm in diameter. The mud clasts are massive and barren of any microfossils (from our own micropalaeontological analyses).

3.c.2. FA3 – Interpretation

The unimodal NW palaeoflow (perpendicular to the palaeo-coastline) and coarse sandstone lithology of FA3 resembles that of the fluvial strata within FA1, but is distinct because of the presence of trace fossils, infrequent planar bedded horizons (Figure 5C), and occasional mud clasts. It is unlikely that the strata record non-marine fluvial deposition because of a) the absence of trace fossils in similar facies of FA1, and b) the absence of burrows in all fluvial strata of similar age worldwide (Minter et al., 2016b). As FA2 consists of tidal flat sediments, and FA4 contains evidence for fully marine deposition (see Section 3.a.4.), an explanation for the abundance of trace fossils within unidirectional cross-bedded strata

may be found by considering this facies association to record the distal, marine-influenced reaches of a fluvial system, where physical fluid flow was dominantly river-dominated, but saline or brackish waters promoted the colonization of sediments by a restricted marine infauna. The lack of any physical evidence for emergence in FA3 suggests it was likely deposited in a fully submerged setting. These features fit with an estuarine depositional setting, although this is a cautious assertion as the scale of the outcrop does not permit identification of any incised valley.

The presence of scoured bounding surfaces that were later colonized by *Psammichnites* and *Planolites* traces can be explained by fluctuations in fluvial discharge or increased wave action (for example, as could be triggered by storm surges). The latter is considered most likely here, as the interval of stasis following erosion indicates that the dunes did not immediately readjust to a new flow regime (Davies & Shillito, 2018). Such a situation would arise due to a stochastic external disturbance to dune migration, as occurs when storm wave-levelling of dunes shifts the location of concentrated flow and deposition within an estuarine setting (e.g., Fruergaard et al., 2013).

3.4. Facies Association 4

3.d.1. FA4 – Description

Outcrop of facies association 4 is largely restricted to coastal cliffs and bedding plane exposures are only seen at localities 0-40 metres from the top of FA4 (e.g. Red Hill, Tumblagooda Hill; Figure 1 locations 18 and 19 respectively). These exhibit a moderate diversity of trace fossils, with an assemblage of sub-vertical and horizontal burrows (*Arenicolites*, *Diplocraterion*, *Heimdallia*, *Laevicyclus*, *Phycodes*, *Rusophycus*,

470 *Tumblagoodichnus*) in association with vertical burrows (*Daedalus*, *Funalichnus*, *Skolithos*).

471 The latter three ichnogenera are the only trace fossils that can be recognised in the vertical
472 cliff outcrops that dominate FA4.

473 FA4 is similar to FA3 in that it is composed mostly of trough cross-bedded medium to
474 very coarse sandstones with foreset palaeocurrent measurements indicating a unimodal flow
475 direction to the northwest (Figure 5D, H). However, unlike in FA3, these trough cross bedded
476 sandstones are densely bioturbated, with *Skolithos* and *Daedalus* creating a piperock fabric.
477 Additionally, the cross-bedded sandstones typically present as scour-based sets that are
478 thicker and narrower than those in FA3, and, near the top of FA4, planar bedded horizons
479 separating packages of cross bedded sandstones are seen, similar to those visible in FA2.

480 Facies Association 4 is restricted to the uppermost 50 metres (< 5% total stratigraphic
481 thickness) of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, and its base is marked by the first appearance of
482 a *Skolithos*-*Daedalus* piperock ichnofabric.

483 3.d.2. FA4 – Interpretation

484 The medium to very coarse sandstone lithology and unidirectional palaeoflow recorded
485 in the lower parts of FA4 suggests it was deposited under the influence of the same estuarine
486 system that deposited FA3. However, following the first instance of piperock fabric in the
487 Tumblagooda Sandstone, the ichnodiversity of the succession increases (though not to levels
488 seen in FA2). Ichnodiversity thus distinguishes FA4 from FA3 where the sedimentary facies
489 are similar, and implies that the succession was deposited in the more distal reaches of the
490 interpreted estuarine system.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The diversity of the richest ichnofauna in the stratigraphically higher exposures of FA4 is boosted by surficial trace fossils, which could be explained by the simple fact that more bedding planes are exposed here. However, some bedding surfaces are seen in large fallen blocks of the lower parts of FA4, along the coastal exposures, where, other than *Psammichnites* and *Planolites*, no surficial trace fossils are observed. Additionally, such ichnological diversity is not witnessed in any FA3 exposures that are rich in bedding planes. As such, the higher ichnodiversity towards the top of FA4 appears to relate to a genuine syn-depositional change in ecological conditions; albeit one that is recorded by only minimal change in the physical sedimentary character (i.e., occasional planar bedded packages). As such, the terminal part of the Tumblagooda Sandstone could record the culmination of a transgression of saline waters, away from freshwater input from any river system (e.g., either due to being further offshore, or due to a shift in position or discharge from the adjacent fluvial-estuarine system).

4. Ichnology of the Tumblagooda Sandstone

The trace fossils of the Tumblagooda Sandstone are described here in terms of both ichnodiversity and the ichnodisparity because each of these provide different perspectives on the trace fossil communities. Ichnodiversity permits a direct comparison with previous work, and provides a rough estimate of the species richness of the tracemakers. However, this comes with the significant caveat that the same species can create multiple different traces, and the same trace can be produced by multiple different species (Ekdale et al., 1984). Twenty ichnotaxa have previously been recorded from the Tumblagooda Sandstone (Trewin & McNamara, 1994), but new ichnological discoveries during the course of this study, combined with a revision and re-description of previously reported traces, brings the total number of

ichnotaxa to 29 (Table 2). Trace fossils previously described by Trewin and McNamara (1994) are not discussed in detail in this section but are listed in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 14.

Ichnodisparity groups trace fossils based on their architectural designs, to highlight the broader morphological diversity – capturing variations in the ichnofauna at a more substantial level to provide a clearer idea of how the ecological makeup of communities varied across the different facies associations (Buatois & Mangano, 2013). The traces of the Tumblagooda Sandstone are recognised as belonging to 14 different architectural design styles (after Buatois et al., 2017), showing a high level of ichnodisparity compared to the global norm for the Silurian (Minter et al., 2016b), and these styles and their constituent ichnotaxa are discussed below.

4.a. Ichnotaxa

4.a.1. Bilobate trails and paired grooves

Four distinct ichnogenera from within this category have been previously identified; *Cruziana*, *Didymaulichnus*, *Tumblagoodichnus* and a ‘Meander-loop Trail’ (Trewin & McNamara, 1994; Figure 14). Of these, *Cruziana*, *Didymaulichnus* and the ‘Meander-loop Trail’ are observed uniquely on bedding surfaces, whereas *Tumblagoodichnus*, the most frequently observed trace of this style, is seen both on bedding planes and in vertical sections. This architectural style of trace fossil is constrained to FA2a, FA2b and FA2c, aside from a single example of *Tumblagoodichnus* observed in the stratigraphically highest levels of FA4.

4.a.2. Trackways and scratch imprints

There is a high abundance of trackways on bedding surfaces of the Tumblagooda Sandstone, from five ichnospecies of four ichnogenera. Of these, *Diplichnites gouldi*,

536 *?Paleohelcura*, *Protichnites* isp., and *Siskemia* isp. A have been previously identified by Trewin
 537 and Mcnamara (1994) (Figure 14). A second, newly-recognised ichnospecies of *Siskemia*
 538 (*Siskemia* isp. B) has been observed on a single bedding surface on the south side of The Loop.
 539 These trace fossils are only observed within FA2a and FA2b.

Siskemia isp. B

541 Five well preserved examples of this ichnospecies occur on the single bedding surface
 542 from which it is known (Figure 15A). As in *Siskemia* isp. A, two grooves spaced 1-2 mm apart
 543 occur between the track rows, however in *S.* isp. B these paired grooves are typically
 544 discontinuous and repeated, 30-50 mm in length with gaps of 50-70 mm between the
 545 repetitions. The footprints forming the external part of the trackways are approximately
 546 circular, and up to 5 mm in diameter. These occur as symmetrical series of six footfall
 547 impressions, arranged with very little overlap and with repeat distance 90-110 mm. This is
 548 identified as a distinct ichnospecies due to the defined series length, the shape of the
 549 footprints, and typically discontinuous medial grooves.

4.a.3. Bilaterally symmetrical short, scratched impressions and burrows

551 Two ichnospecies from this category have previously been identified - *Rusophycus*
 552 *trefolia* and "*Selenichnites langridgei*" (Trewin & McNamara, 1994; Figure 14); the latter now
 553 reassigned to *Crescentichnus langridgei* (after Romano & Whyte, 2015). These ichnospecies
 554 are rare throughout the Tumblagooda Sandstone, with a cumulative 12 examples known.
 555 Both are observed on bedding plane exposures, and in most examples, they are observed in
 556 association with one another. Aside from one example of *Rusophycus* known from the top of
 557 FA4, the traces are restricted to FA2a and FA2b.

558 4.a.4. *Passively filled horizontal burrows*

559 A single ichnospecies of passively filled horizontal burrow, *Didymauliponomos rowei*,
 560 was identified in previous studies (Trewin & McNamara, 1994; Figure 14). We observed two
 561 examples, both occurring unassociated with any other trace fossils within FA2a, and both
 562 occurring on bedding planes as with all previous examples known from the formation.

563 4.a.5. *Simple actively filled (massive) horizontal to oblique structures*

564 This architectural style is observed in a single ichnospecies, *Planolites* isp., which is
 565 known to occur in FA2a, FA3 and FA4, only recorded on bedding surfaces. This trace is most
 566 abundant in FA2a, with infrequent dense clusters occurring on true substrates within FA3, in
 567 association with *Psammichnites* (Davies & Shillito, 2018). A single example is known from the
 568 stratigraphically highest exposures of FA4.

569 4.a.6. *Simple actively filled (meniscate) horizontal to oblique structures*

570 A single meniscate burrow ichnospecies was identified from the Tumblagooda
 571 Sandstone by Trewin and McNamara (1994), "*Beaconites antarcticus*". However, the
 572 subsequent revision of the ichnotaxonomy of meniscate burrows (Keighley & Pickerill, 1994)
 573 means that examples of these traces are more accurately assigned to two different ichnotaxa;
 574 *B. capronus* and *Taenidium serpentinum*. A cumulative five examples are known from bedding
 575 plane surfaces within FA2a.

576 *Beaconites capronus* Howard & Frey 1984

577 *B. capronus* is known from two long horizontal burrows exhibiting thin linings and a
 578 heterogeneous tight chevronate pattern to their meniscate infill (Figure 15B). The grain size

579 of the infill matches that of the surrounding medium-coarse sediment, although in places it
580 appears to be ordered into alternating packages of slightly finer and coarser sand.

581 *Taenidium serpentinum* Heer 1877

582 Three specimens are referred to *T. serpentinum*, occurring on the same bedding surface.
583 These burrows are unlined, and have meniscate infill divided into well-spaced, sub-
584 rectangular packages (Figure 15C). The infilling sediment is homogenous medium sand, the
585 same as the surrounding lithology. They are distinguished from alternative ichnospecies of
586 *Taenidium* by shallow curvature of the menisci and the homogenous nature of the infill.

587 4.a.7. Complex actively filled horizontal structures

588 “*Aulichnites*” was described from FA3 by Hocking (1991) and Trewin and McNamara
589 (1994) (Figure 14). However, this has since been reassigned to *Psammichnites* (Minter et al.,
590 2016; Davies & Shillito, 2018), following the redescription of *Aulichnites* as a junior synonym
591 (Mangano et al., 2003). *Psammichnites* is dominantly observed in patches of high abundance
592 on bedding surfaces within FA3, although three examples were observed on bedding surfaces
593 of FA2a and FA4.

594 4.a.8. Horizontal burrows with horizontal to vertical branches

595 One example of a branching horizontal burrow was observed upon the surface of a
596 loose block within FA4 at Red Hill. This trace is previously undescribed from the Tumblagooda
597 Sandstone, and has been assigned to an unknown ichnospecies of *Phycodes*.

598 *Phycodes* isp. Richter 1850

The single burrow exhibiting multiple curved sub-horizontal branches was observed in medium sandstone on the surface of a loose block from the stratigraphically highest parts of FA4. The burrow shaft is 50 mm in diameter before it branches, and the individual branches are a maximum of 25 mm in diameter, narrowing towards their distal ends (Figure 15D). The observed branches overlap one another, curving a full 180 degrees along their length.

4.a.9. Horizontal helicoidal burrows

A new discovery of a single example of a horizontal helicoidal burrow was observed within FA2a, in a loose block found on the north side of The Loop. This trace has been assigned to *Helicodromites mobilis*.

Helicodromites mobilis Berger 1957

H. mobilis occurs as a specimen 95 mm long with a shaft diameter of 13 mm. Two full whorls are visible in the specimen, and the total diameter of the spiral is 25 mm (Figure 15E). The burrow is preserved in positive epirelief in medium sandstone. As only one specimen is known from a loose block, detailed palaeoenvironmental context of this trace remains unknown beyond the fact that it was formed within FA2.

4.a.10. Burrows with complex vertically oriented spreiten

Burrows with complex vertical spreite are the most abundant trace fossils known from the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Two ichnospecies have previously been reported, *Daedalus* isp. and *Heimdallia chatwini* (Trewin & McNamara, 1994; Figure 14) occurring within FA2a, FA2c and FA4, and are supplemented by *Paradictyodora* isp., identified here for the first time. *Daedalus* is commonly observed in vertical sections within FA4, although is also observed on cross section on some bedding surfaces. *Heimdallia* is observed both upon bedding surfaces

621 and in vertical section within FA2, with two known examples from FA4 at Tumblagooda Hill.
622 Beds densely packed with *Heimdallia* are a distinctive motif within FA2, with 42 distinct
623 *Heimdallia* beds observed in a 68-metre section at Z-bend – 10.2% of the total stratigraphic
624 thickness.

625 *Paradictyodora* isp. Olivero et al 2004

626 A single example of *Paradictyodora* has been positively identified in association with
627 *Heimdallia* within the densely bioturbated facies of FA2 (Figure 15F). Like *H. chatwini*,
628 *Paradictyodora* is formed of horizontally stacked, vertically oriented spreite which curve
629 downwards. However, unlike *Heimdallia* the plane containing the J-tube is approximately
630 orthogonal to the direction of displacement, whereas in *Heimdallia* the plane is parallel to
631 displacement direction.

632 4.a.11. Mound-shaped structures

633 *Trusheimichnus* Schlirf 2006 n. isp.

634 A short, unlined, unbranched, vertical burrow with a circular cross-section associated
635 with a triangular pyramidal mound next to the opening (Figure 15G). The shaft of the burrow
636 remains unfilled and is 25 mm wide by 23 mm deep. The mound is steep sided, 17 mm tall
637 and the same width as the burrow along the edge which the two components of the trace
638 share. The length of the mound (i.e. the maximum distance that it reaches from the shaft of
639 the burrow) is 36 mm. This specimen differs from other known examples of *Trusheimichnus*
640 due to the shortness and straightness of the burrow shaft, and the lack of a terminal chamber
641 and as such it is concluded to belong to an unidentified ichnospecies.

The sole example of *Trusheimichnus* was found within a loose block in proximity to FA2 at the south side of The Loop, so its precise environmental context cannot be determined. However, as the trace-bearing surface preserves the original topography of the biogenic structure it records a true substrate, with the burrow formed during a period of sedimentary stasis. The sharp, steep sides of the mound beside the burrow show that it was formed in damp, subaerially exposed sediment, as dry subaerial sand would collapse, and wet submerged sand would form a shallower slope (Tegzes et al., 1999).

4.a.12. Vertical unbranched burrows

Vertical burrows occur in high abundance in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, dominantly within the piperock of FA4 (Hocking, 1991; Trewin & McNamara, 1994). Two ichnospecies have been previously described, "*Lunatubichnus wittecarrensis*" and *Skolithos linearis* (Figure 14). "*Lunatubichnus wittecarrensis*" (Trewin & McNamara, 1994) is here considered to be an invalid ichnotaxon because further investigation of the traces reported from the coastal cliffs instead reveals them to be weathered cross sections through *Skolithos* and *Daedalus* (Figure 14). Two other ichnospecies of vertical unbranched burrows have been recognised for the first time; *Funalichnus strangulatus*, known from a single loose example at Eagle Gorge, and *Laevicyclus*, observed in two examples from the stratigraphically highest parts of FA4 at Tumblagooda Hill.

Skolithos is by far the most common trace fossil from within this grouping, forming a dense piperock in the coastal assemblages of FA4. It is most frequently observed in vertical section, although burrow cross-sections are also observed on bedding planes. *Skolithos* is also seen on rare marker horizons towards the top of FA3, again in vertical section, and sparsely distributed throughout the rocks of FA2a, FA2b and FA2c as short burrows on bedding

665 surfaces and occasionally in vertical section. The variable presentation of this trace is a direct
 666 result of the different outcrop exposure between the inland river gorges and the coastal cliffs.

667 *Funalichnus strangulatus* Fritsch 1883

668 A straight, unbranched burrow, 30 mm long by 10 mm wide with a slightly off-round
 669 cross-section. The burrow is ornamented with closely spaced transverse ridges along one side,
 670 whereas the opposite side of the shaft is smooth and unmarked (Figure 15H). The infill is
 671 structureless and compositionally the same as the medium-coarse grained sandstones of
 672 bioturbated beds from close to where the specimen was discovered.

673 As only one example was identified, occurring within a loose block, the broader
 674 sedimentological and palaeoenvironmental context of the burrow remains unknown.
 675 However, its location amidst a scree slope towards the top of the coastal exposure at Eagle
 676 Gorge (Figure 1, location 22) restricts it to the upper reaches of FA3 or FA4.

677 *Laevicyclus* Quenstedt 1879

678 Two examples of vertical, cylindrical burrows from Tumblagooda Hill are assigned to
 679 *Laevicyclus* isp (Figure 15I). These burrows are unbranched and open out into a cone towards
 680 the top of the shaft, differentiating them from the multitude of other vertical burrows known
 681 from FA4. Both examples are at least 75 mm long, although disappear into the bed below so
 682 their total lengths are unknown. At the widest point of the cone the burrows reach 20 mm in
 683 diameter narrowing to 10 mm for the majority of the shaft. The two examples occur in
 684 medium-coarse planar bedded sandstone, and are in association with *Skolithos*.

685 4.a.13. Vertical single U- and Y-shaped burrows

All U-shaped burrows previously described from the Tumblagooda Sandstone were assigned to *Diplocraterion parallelum*, with “paired burrows” also considered to belong within *Diplocraterion* due to the presence of spreite (Trewin & McNamara, 1994; Figure 14). Here two other ichnospecies are reported, *Arenicolites* isp. and *Polarichnus* isp. *Arenicolites* occurs as paired burrows with no evidence for internal spreite, on bedding surfaces near the top of FA4 at Tumblagooda Hill. A single specimen from a loose block of FA2 at The Loop is assigned to *Polarichnus*.

Arenicolites isp. Salter 1857

Paired burrows observed on bedding surfaces near the top of Tumblagooda Hill are assigned to *Arenicolites* isp. (Figure 15J). These burrows are distinguished from the tops of the simple vertical burrows that dominate much of FA4 as each burrow top is consistently closely associated with one other, with a separation of approximately 30-50 mm. The diameter of the burrow shafts ranges from 5-12 mm, and there is no evidence of spreite between the two shafts, distinguishing these from *Diplocraterion*.

Polarichnus isp. Narbonne et al 1979

Polarichnus is considered a U-shaped burrow based upon the type specimen (Narbonne et al., 1979), but the burrow shaft is not seen in the Tumblagooda example; instead being recognised by the up-thrusted medial surficial epirelief ridge of sediment, exhibiting opposing directions of meniscate ridges (Figure 15K). The same presentation is seen in trace fossils also assigned to *Polarichnus* in similar facies of the Ringerike Group of Norway (Davies et al., 2006). A single specimen of *Polarichnus* was observed in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in a loose

1
2
3 707 block of medium sandstone from within FA2 at The Loop. The trace is 125 mm long and 58
4
5
6 708 mm wide.
7
8

9 709 *4.a.14. Burrows with shaft or bunch with downwards radiating probes*
10
11

12 710 A single example of a previously undescribed trace fossil with a shaft and downwards
13
14 711 radiating branches was observed in vertical section from within FA2a at The Loop. This trace
15
16
17 712 has been assigned to an unknown ichnospecies of *Fascifodina*.
18
19

20 713 *Fascifodina* isp. Osgood 1970
21
22

23 714 *Fascifodina* isp. is interpreted based on one trace cutting obliquely through planar
24
25
26 715 bedded medium sandstone at The Loop. The main shaft of the trace is cylindrical and 150 mm
27
28 716 long with a diameter of 18 mm. This shaft then branches into 4 probes which all originate
29
30
31 717 from the same part of the main shaft, radiate downwards and outwards, and bulge along their
32
33 718 length (Figure 15L). The trace is believed to record the deposit feeding behaviour of a
34
35
36 719 vermiform organism (Osgood, 1970).
37
38

39 720 **4.b. Trace Fossil Associations**
40
41

42 721 Trewin and McNamara (1994) identified two main trace fossil associations – *Heimdallia*-
43
44 722 *Diplichnites* and *Skolithos-Diplocraterion* – in their original study of the ichnofauna, and paired
45
46
47 723 these with the predefined facies associations of Hocking (1991). These associations were later
48
49
50 724 reassigned into ichnofacies by McNamara (2014), with the ichnofauna of FA2 considered to
51
52 725 belong to the non-marine *Scoyenia* ichnofacies and that of FA4 to the marine *Skolithos*
53
54
55 726 ichnofacies. Here, we consider how the trace fossils are associated with one another on a bed
56
57 727 by bed level rather than at the broader scale previously employed. The purpose of this is to
58
59
60 728 understand the direct interactions between the traces to interpret which behaviours were

729 occurring in the same localised spaces within similar timeframes, rather than an overview of
 730 what was occurring in the same broad environment.

731 This approach has led to twenty-two different trace fossil associations (instances where
 732 two or more distinct traces occur in the same bed) being recognised from the Tumblagooda
 733 Sandstone, which are detailed in Table 3. Of these associations (T1-T22), 19 occur in FA2, 3
 734 occur in FA4 and 2 occur in FA3 (one of which is shared with FA2 and the other with FA4). The
 735 twenty-two individual associations can be clustered into 6 distinct groups (A-F) based upon
 736 qualitative observations of the architectural designs of the traces, and supported by
 737 multivariate cluster analysis of the trace fossil associations (Figure 16). These were formed in
 738 different sub-environments of the Tumblagooda depositional setting, demonstrating original
 739 ecological partitioning.

740 4.b.1. Association Group A – *Heimdallia* dominated (T5, T6, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12)

741 This group of shallow infaunal trace fossil associations is dominated by *Heimdallia*, is
 742 the most common, and is consistently present for the entire thickness of FA2 (Figure 5B). The
 743 extent of bioturbation varies from occasional overlapping traces to total destruction of any
 744 primary bedding. The degree of bioturbation likely reflects a spatial patchiness to burrowing
 745 intensity (Marenco & Hagadorn, 2019). On areas of the bedding surfaces where the burrows
 746 are sparse, surface features remain intact and the traces are often seen in association with
 747 subaqueous and emergent sedimentary surface features including wave ripple marks and
 748 adhesion marks. *Skolithos* is a common minor element of this assemblage, appearing in low
 749 abundance on some less densely bioturbated bedding surfaces. The other traces which occur
 750 in this group are: *Beaconites*, *Didymaulichnus*, *Pardictyodora*, *Planolites*, and
 751 *Tumblagoodichnus*.

752 Whilst *Tumblagoodichnus* is recognised in association with this group (T5, T6), in these
 753 instances it always originates from beds stratigraphically above those containing *Heimdallia*,
 754 demonstrating that it is a palimpsest upon the original ichnofabric. The association between
 755 *Heimdallia* and *Tumblagoodichnus* has previously been noted, and interpreted as both
 756 coincidental (with *Tumblagoodichnus* as the record of temporary subsurface refuges made by
 757 arthropods) (Trewin & McNamara, 1994) or as ‘hunting’ behaviour (with the animal
 758 responsible for *Tumblagoodichnus* scooping down through the sediment to eat the animal
 759 creating *Heimdallia*) (McNamara, 2014; Bradley et al., 2018). The latter contention is
 760 improbable given that the *Tumblagoodichnus*, originating from overlying beds would have
 761 been formed on timescales of days to years after the *Heimdallia* burrowing activity.

762 4.b.2. Association Group B – *Tumblagoodichnus* dominated (T1, T7)

763 *Tumblagoodichnus* is one of the most abundant trace fossils in the Tumblagooda
 764 Sandstone (Table 2), and occurs in direct association with sedimentary surface textures
 765 indicative of subaerial emergence, including adhesion marks, setulfs, and wave ripples. Whilst
 766 there is some overlap with associations in groups A and C, where *Tumblagoodichnus* also
 767 plays an accessory role, it is the major trace in two associations which also contain *Rusophycus*
 768 and *Skolithos*. In these associations, burrow tops occurring on adhesion marked surfaces
 769 suggest that some of the burrows may have been formed subaerially, although it is difficult
 770 to ascertain the relative timing of burrowing and emergence of the substrate. This group of
 771 associations is known to occur throughout the full stratigraphic thickness of FA2, specifically
 772 within FA2a and FA2b.

773 4.b.3. Association Group C – Trackways dominated (T4, T13, T14, T15, T16, T17, T18)

774 This group of trace fossil associations is predominantly epifaunal, comprised of
 775 arthropod trackways (*Diplichnites*, *Protichnites*, *Siskemia*) which are occasionally associated
 776 with burrows (*Skolithos*, *Tumblagoodichnus* and *Psammichnites*). This group of associations
 777 is observed from the full thickness of FA2, occurring within FA2a and FA2b within similar facies
 778 to those where group B is found. The traces are associated with a range of both subaqueous
 779 and emergent sedimentary surface textures; wave ripple marks and frequently with adhesion
 780 marks, indicating that some of the traces in this group were formed subaerially.

781 4.b.4. Association Group D – *Skolithos* dominated (T21, T22)

782 This group of trace fossil associations is dominantly comprised of vertical infaunal traces
 783 which together produce a piperock fabric. The main constituent traces are *Skolithos* and
 784 *Daedalus*, with *Arenicolites* and *Laevicyclus* in the upper exposures of FA4. The burrows occur
 785 only within subaqueous sedimentary strata, within trough cross bedded medium-very coarse
 786 sandstones. The longest burrows penetrate to depths of over 1 m: lengths that are not
 787 witnessed when *Skolithos* appears as an accessory trace in the other associations (Figure 17).
 788 Burrows originate only from topsets in the trough cross bedded sandstones and are not seen
 789 terminating against foreset surfaces, indicating burrowing activity commenced during
 790 intervals of sedimentary stasis post-dating dune migration (Figure 18).

791 4.b.5. Association Group E – *Psammichnites* dominated (T19, T20)

792 This group is mostly comprised of shallow infaunal traces and represents the major
 793 ichnological signature in FA3, containing *Psammichnites*, *Planolites* and *Skolithos*.
 794 *Psammichnites* occurs in high density patches on erosional bounding surfaces between
 795 subaqueously-deposited trough cross bedded co-sets (Davies & Shillito, 2018), alongside

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

occasional patches of *Planolites*. *Skolithos* rarely occurs in association with *Psammichnites*, and where it does it is as a result of a later overprinting of the original fabric from a higher bed.

4.b.6. Association Group F – *Rusophycus* dominated (T2, T3)

This group of trace fossil associations is the least common, restricted to disparate locations throughout FA2. The associations contain *Crescentichnus*, *Rusophycus* and *Skolithos*, and dominantly occur in mixed subaqueous-emergent strata of FA2a. A solitary occurrence in FA2b is on an erosional bedding surface, with both *Rusophycus* and *Crescentichnus* in very high concentrations (Trewin & McNamara, 1994). All other examples contain a much lower density of trace fossils on planar bedded surfaces with no sedimentary surface textures. Whilst it is likely that *Rusophycus* and *Crescentichnus* were produced at the same time (they are thought to be produced by the same euthycarcinoid animals; Trewin & McNamara, 1994), in the association between *Rusophycus* and *Skolithos*, *Skolithos* post-dates and overprints the fabric from above horizons.

5. Marine or Non-Marine? Uniting sedimentological and ichnological evidence

As shown in Figure 4, signatures in the rock record can be used to infer a wide range of different depositional states including some distinction between marine and non-marine. A recent review emphasized this sedimentological and ichnological complexity in the fluvio-tidal transition zone (Shchepetkina et al., 2019), describing the broad suite of sedimentary structures which may occur within it. Table 4 illustrates the extent to which physical sedimentological phenomena of the Tumblagooda Sandstone are indicative, or not, of environmental conditions pertaining to a marine influence. When only physical

sedimentological evidence is considered, there are few features that are definitively marine or definitively non-marine and it is only possible to diagnose that the majority of the Tumblagooda Sandstone was deposited in subaqueous or emergent settings. However, FA2 has two sedimentological characteristics that allow a reasoned explanation for the extent of marine deposition throughout the formation: 1) the suite of co-occurrent sedimentary structures are significantly more likely to have formed in marine (and by extension saline or brackish) than non-marine conditions; and 2) it lacks the extensive unidirectional trough cross-bedding that is at least partially present in all the other three facies associations. Whilst there are small patches of convincing aeolian sandstone within FA2 (FA2e), it is likely that this marks the landward extent of a littoral depositional environment – in short, it is not unexpected to see aeolian sand dunes on a beach, but it is far less likely to observe instances of waning-associated flow-reversal (e.g., Fig. 11) outside of the tidal realm. At the other end of the environmental spectrum, FA1 lacks evidence for emergence but contains evidence for subaqueous conditions (Table 4). There is no evidence for any of the other descriptors shown in Figure 4 (Table 4), but the architecture and sedimentary signatures of FA1 are consistent with fluvial deposition.

Demonstrably marine-influenced settings of the Tumblagooda depositional environment (FA2) thus contain the highest abundance of trace fossils, the greatest ichnodiversity and the greatest ichnodisparity, whereas the fully freshwater subaqueous settings in the Tumblagooda depositional environment (FA1) were barren of tracemakers. The correlation between ichnodiversity, ichnodisparity and evidence for marine conditions can, by extension, be used to infer salinity and environment in the other facies associations, which are less clear from a purely sedimentological standpoint.

FA3 has the second lowest ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity and is sedimentologically similar to FA1, with no evidence of emergence, and direct evidence of subaqueous deposition (Table 4). This implies physical fluvial deposition, but with greater ecological similarity to FA2 revealed by the presence of any trace fossils. If freshwater conditions restricted tracemakers during the deposition of FA1, the most likely explanation here is that there was an increase in salinity in FA3 (i.e., brackish conditions). Indirect evidence for this comes from the truncated and *Psammichnites*-colonized bedforms, explainable by wave attack of subaqueous estuarine dunes (Davies & Shillito, 2018) and which would imply mixing of saline and freshwater.

FA4 shares sedimentary signatures with FA2 and FA3, and exhibits ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity between that of the two facies associations. This suggests either: 1) that it was deposited under conditions where salinity was still somewhat affected by freshwater dilution of marine waters; or 2) it was deposited under fully subaqueous nearshore marine conditions where nutrients were less universally abundant than in the depositional environment of FA2. The latter is considered more likely as trace fossil abundance is very high, but there are few unequivocal deposit feeding traces, such as are common in FA2. Intertidal areas provide habitats for both vertical burrowers (e.g., interface feeders at high tide), as well as deposit feeders and grazers at low tide (Gingras et al., 2012), whereas further offshore sediment is less nutrient rich and filter feeding from the water column is more prevalent (Gingras et al., 2012).

5.a. High resolution palaeoenvironmental insights

The Tumblagooda Sandstone is exceptionally suited to the study of bedding planes, due to its extensive outcrop and low tectonic dip (Davies & Shillito, 2018). Many of these bedding planes within FA2 and FA3, exposed along the river gorges and coastal cliffs, are recognised

as “true substrates”, defined by Davies and Shillito (2018) as “sedimentary bedding planes that demonstrably existed at the sediment-water or sediment-air interface at the time of deposition, as evidenced by features such as ripple marks or trace fossils”. These true substrates provide a snapshot of life and the environment during sedimentary stasis (Tipper, 2015), the state in which local sedimentary systems spend most of the time. As tidal environments are heterogeneous in many aspects (Dalrymple & Choi, 2007; Gingras et al., 2012), the preservation of true substrates in tidally-influenced facies should be expected to be favoured.

True substrates are identified by surficial trace fossils and sedimentary surface textures existing on the bedding surface, and the abundance of such surfaces in the Tumblagooda Sandstone provide snapshots of life activity on individual days of its deposition (Figure 19). These surfaces commonly record multiple different ichnotaxa associated with one another. Considering these co-occurring trace fossils as associations permits a high-resolution analysis of organism-sediment interactions throughout the Tumblagooda littoral environments. For example, whilst the overall ichnodisparity of FA2 is relatively high, when looked at on a bed by bed scale, the disparity is very low, with at most three different architectural designs occurring together. Groups A-F illustrate that there are behavioural patterns which co-occur repeatedly within the Tumblagooda Sandstone, restricted to specific facies. When compared with trace fossils in recent and modern tidal environments, A-F can be used to interpret recurrent localized sub-environments best suited to the modes of life of the tracemakers (Gingras et al., 2012). This partitioning is illustrated in figure 20.

Group A is a deposit feeding assemblage: dominant *Heimdallia* (and rare *Paradictyodora*) record the behaviour of small animals systematically feeding infaunally, and

887 a variable abundance of diminutive *Skolithos* records subordinate interface feeding
888 behaviour. Group A reflects the ichnofauna of sandy intertidal flats, demonstrated by the co-
889 occurrence of emergent sedimentary structures with these trace fossil associations. There is
890 strong modern analogue for Group A: densely populated infaunal assemblages are common
891 in intertidal environments, due to the abundance of food resources, as planktonic organic
892 material is deposited during slack water and sieved by granular sediment during falling tides
893 (Gingras et al., 2012).

894 Group B is dominated by *Tumblagoodichnus*, the dimensions of which indicate that they
895 were made by some of the largest arthropods in the depositional environment.
896 Sedimentological evidence for emergence (adhesion marks and setulfs in association with
897 some of the burrows) suggests that the burrows could be refugia associated with tracemakers
898 digging down to moist sediment, to avoid subaerial desiccation. The lack of associated deposit
899 feeding traces suggests that this group records a less organic rich part of the substrate, and
900 as such could record emergence near the landward margin of the tidal prism.

901 Group C records both subaerial and subaqueous activities of arthropods within the
902 intertidal sand flats and dunes of FA2. As this group is mostly comprised of locomotion traces,
903 rather than the feeding traces which make up much of groups A, D and E, it suggests limited
904 interstitial or interface nutrients. Clear evidence of subaerial activity comes from the many
905 true substrates, where trackways can be seen traversing rippled substrates with topographic
906 lows where receding water would have been retained (Figure 19). This is reinforced by
907 observations of trackways occurring in association with adhesion marks on foresets within
908 the cross-bedded facies of FA2, recording emergent intertidal barforms (Figure 9). The

particular environmental niche represented by group C is similar to that of group B, with animals spending time on or in the exposed substrate on a short-term basis.

Group D is an interface feeding assemblage of traces as the main constituent is *Skolithos*, although subordinate *Daedalus* shows some element of deposit feeding behaviour was occurring. The length of these burrows (up to 1 metre) implies that they many have been semi-permanent domiciles of filter-feeding organisms, exploiting the nutrient-rich waters in an outer estuary, where normal salinity and less frequently mobile sand would have promoted infaunal communities. This is supported by the fact that burrows are only seen to originate from topsets of cross-bedded packages (Figure 18), implying colonization of dunes during a post-depositional interval of sedimentary stasis.

Group E records a deposit feeding assemblage of short *Psammichnites* and *Planolites* trails, colonising erosional true substrates in intervals of stasis post-dating dune truncation by wave attack (Davies & Shillito, 2018). The low diversity and small dimensions of the ichnofauna could reflect brackish conditions, as would be expected within the FA3 estuary (Buatois et al., 2005). As conditions would be changeable on hourly timescales with the changing tides, these trace fossil associations show opportunists taking advantage of temporarily favourable conditions to exploit the high abundance of nutrients in the sediment (Gingras et al., 2012).

Group F occurs infrequently throughout FA2, so reflects a narrower environmental niche than the other more abundant trace fossil associations. The single example of co-occurring *Crescentichnus* and *Rusophycus* has previously been described as a hunting assemblage (Trewin & McNamara, 1994), as they report abundant *Diplo craterion* of the same depth as the *Crescentichnus* on the same surface. However, these burrows are not clear in

any figured examples, and were not observed directly in the field during our study. Alternatively, Group F may fall more in line with the palaeoenvironmental context of groups B and C, although due to its relative scarcity it is difficult to definitively place.

5.b. The role of outcrop geomorphology in analysis of the Tumblagooda Sandstone

The exposed outcrop geomorphology of the Tumblagooda Sandstone is variable across the outcrop belt, and determines, to an extent, the sedimentary structures and trace fossils that can be observed. Three main geomorphic expressions are present: 1) stepped exposure of successive beds, making up much of the river gorges, 2) coastal exposure with few horizontal wave-cut platforms and vertical cliffs, and 3) sheer vertical cliff exposure, lacking bedding planes, in narrow parts of the river gorges (Figure 12). This variability could feasibly bias ichnological analysis (e.g., Marengo & Hagadorn, 2019) – the significance of surficial trace fossils such as trackways is clearly apparent in extensive bedding planes of the gorges, but more likely to be concealed where bedding plane exposures are limited.

Figure 12 shows how the exposure of trace fossils would be expected to vary within the different types of exposure, in scenarios of either ubiquitous or scattered presence of trace fossils. The large pie charts show that even with stepped exposure, the majority of trace fossils within an area either remain concealed within the rock or are destroyed by erosion. The smallest pie charts consider only the visible trace fossils, showing that even though coastal and cliff exposures would result in a skew towards vertical traces rather than surficial traces, examples of both should be observed if they were present. Figure 21 shows the true observed distribution of trace fossil types within the Tumblagooda Sandstone, revealing that FA2 and FA3 have a higher concentration of horizontal trace fossils than can be accounted for by outcrop bias alone, and FA4 has a higher concentration of vertical traces. Thus, while outcrop

geomorphology has played a role in determining which trace fossils can be recognised in the field, the broad trends apparent from the groupings of trace fossil associations appear to reflect a genuine primary environmental control.

6. Implications of the Tumblagooda Ichnofauna

Combining sedimentological and ichnological evidence from the Tumblagooda Sandstone, there is no evidence to support contentions that its trace fossil-bearing strata were deposited in a mixed aeolian-fluvial setting (contra Trewin & McNamara, 1994). As a result, the arthropod communities recorded by its ichnofauna were not denizens of one of the first fully non-marine habitats on Earth. However, the exceptional exposure of the Tumblagooda Sandstone and the diversity and abundance of its trace fossils mean that the unit still has ichnological significance. As discussed in Section 5 and shown in Figure 20, the unit provides a clear window onto lower Palaeozoic littoral trace fossil associations, which can be combined with sedimentological evidence for subaqueous, subaerial and emergent conditions to recognise ecological and ethological partitioning within distinct sub-environments of a dominantly transitional environment between land and sea. These insights demonstrate that, by the Silurian at the latest, littoral environments were already regions of patchy distributions of nutrients and physical processes, which were imparting direct environmental controls on organism distribution and organism-sediment interactions, directly comparable to modern littoral habitats (Gingras et al., 2012). Yet even though the Tumblagooda Sandstone can no longer be considered to be a crucible of the arthropod invasion of the land, the quality of outcrop and exceptional abundance of trace fossils afforded by this means that it has significance for considering the wider context of the terrestrialization process, when compared with other lower Palaeozoic ichnofaunas.

Figure 22 shows the bulk and median ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity for marine, transitional, and non-marine environments between the middle Cambrian (the earliest known non-marine ichnofauna; MacNaughton et al., 2002) and the Devonian (by which time terrestrial arthropod communities were fully developed, and attested to by multiple lines of palaeontological, ichnological and sedimentological observations). We have separated the late Silurian as a distinct interval, due to the global abundance of strata from this time that attest to early fully non-marine habitats. The data used to compile these plots is taken from Minter et al. (2016a, b), with the original environmental classifications discussed therein translated into the classifications outlined in Figure 4 and Table 1. Figure 22B shows the bulk ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity of the various facies associations of the Tumblagooda Sandstone for comparison, further illustrating how much of an anomaly the unit would be if it actually were a Silurian (or Ordovician) non-marine succession.

The trends in Figure 22 show that non-marine habitats in the Cambrian to middle Silurian had negligible ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity, and the Ausable-Covey Hill Formation and Nepean Formation of the New York-Ontario-Quebec region provide (the only) archetypes for these earliest non-marine arthropod trackways (MacNaughton et al., 2002; Hagadorn et al., 2011). Crucially, although the trace fossils (*Diplichnites*, *Diplopodichnus*, *Protichnites*) in these units are hosted within non-marine aeolian dune strata, they were all demonstrably active within metres of the transitional zone, and thus reflect subaerial excursions of amphidromous explorers rather than established arthropod communities (Minter et al., 2016a). In the late Silurian, and developing into the Devonian, there is a burst of ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity in fully non-marine environments (Minter et al., 2017; Buatois & Mángano, 2018). Such trends are revealed in archetypal Old Red Sandstone facies

such as the Silurian Moor Cliffs Formation of Wales (Marriott et al., 2009) or Devonian strata of the Midland Valley of Scotland (Walker, 1985), but a similar pattern emerges globally at this time, with analogous ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity trends recognised in 34 formations worldwide (Minter et al., 2016b). Crucially, amongst these early fully non-marine trace fossil communities, other Australian examples are known, such as the Late Silurian-Early Devonian Mereenie Sandstone of Northern Territory (Gouramanis et al., 2016), Late Silurian-Early Devonian Mt Daubeny Formation of New South Wales (Neef, 2004a), and Early Devonian Ravensdale Formation of New South Wales (Neef et al., 2004b); all of which contain demonstrably non-marine signatures (e.g., aeolian dune and interdune facies) and extensive outcrop, but which are also diminished in trace fossil diversity and disparity relative to the Tumblagooda Sandstone.

In contrast, marine ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity remain relatively constant throughout the lower Palaeozoic (here “marine” is restricted to coastal marine waters, reflecting the data presented in Minter et al, 2016a, b). Trace fossils are well described from Ordovician marine strata such as the Anzaldo Formation of Bolivia (Davies et al., 2007) or Stairway Sandstone of the Northern Territory, Australia (Gibb et al., 2009; Davies et al., 2011b), which are directly comparable in diversity and disparity terms with late Silurian marine ichnofaunas such as those typified by the Leopold and Cape Storm formations of Arctic Canada (Narbonne et al., 1979; Narbonne, 1984). Similar stability of ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity is also apparent across Cambrian (e.g., the Elk Mound Group, Wisconsin (Collette et al., 2010)) to late Silurian (e.g., the Sundvollen Formation, Norway (Davies et al., 2006)) transitional environments. There is an apparent global dip in ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity in marine and transitional strata of Devonian age (such as those typified by the

Catskill complex of the northeast United States (Miller, 1979; Knox & Gordon, 1999), or Muth Formation of India (Draganits et al., 2001)), and this could be an artefact of increased ecospace occupation under the 'early burst' pattern of ichnological colonization (e.g., Minter et al., 2017). However, it is also possible that the diminishment of behavioural styles and diversity apparent from Devonian marine trace fossils was associated with the emergence of increased competition from non-bioturbating organisms (i.e., more advanced marine predators such as gnathostomes (Friedman & Sallan, 2012)), which itself is thought to have played a partial role in encouraging terrestrialization (Bambach, 1999).

Amongst these globally distributed units, the predominantly transitional facies of the Tumblagooda Sandstone (FA2) bear greatest similarities with mean ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity values of transitional environments that are contemporaneous with, or immediately precede, the terrestrialization of arthropods (Figure 22). Characterized by relatively high ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity, they reflect established invertebrate communities within the environmentally-patchy littoral zone, prior to full ecospace occupation and increased predation. Fully marine facies of the Tumblagooda Sandstone (FA4) are also similar to the mean ichnodisparity values for lower Palaeozoic marine facies, attesting to the fact that such habitats had long been well-established by the time of deposition. A slight anomaly is seen in the diminished ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity of FA3, but as this facies association bears evidence for estuarine deposition, and potential variability in marine and fluvial dominance (see Section 3), the depressed richness in trace fossils may be explainable by analogue with the depauperate trace-maker activity associated with brackish water conditions (e.g., Buatois et al., 1997; 2005; Dalrymple & Choi, 2007). Using direct sedimentological and ichnological evidence, compared with a global dataset, the

Tumblagooda Sandstone can thus be considered to be transitional from both an environmental and an evolutionary perspective.

7. Conclusions

1. The Tumblagooda Sandstone was largely deposited in marine-influenced sedimentary environments, and no trace fossils occur in conclusively non-marine strata.

2. The formation provides an archetypal example of a marginal transitional environment around the time of the onset of arthropod terrestrialization, and acts as a window onto the marginal environments from which the very first true arthropod colonizers would have departed.

3. The unit sheds light on littoral ecosystems and organisms in the early stages of a profound interval of Earth system evolution. The spatially patchy variation in its ichnofauna and depositional environments attests that, by the Silurian, the littoral barrier between land and sea had a moderate richness of invertebrate fauna and a modern-style physically-imparted variability in environment.

4. Outcrop geomorphology plays a significant and often overlooked role in constraining the observations that can be made about strata, affecting any conclusions which can be drawn about true diversity and disparity.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ken McNamara and Roger Hocking for useful discussion, and Mike Paxman for his help with access to locations in Kalbarri National Park. Two anonymous reviewers are thanked for their helpful comments and critique of this

1068 manuscript. APS was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council [grant number
1069 NE/L002507/1].

1070 Declaration of Interest

1071 The authors have no known conflicts of interest.

1072 References

- 1073 Allen, J.R.L., 1983, Studies in fluvial sedimentation: Bars, bar-complexes and sandstone
1074 sheets (low-sinuosity braided streams) in the Brownstones (L. Devonian), Welsh Borders:
1075 *Sedimentary Geology*, **33**, 237–293.
- 1076 Bambach, R.K., 1999. Energetics in the global marine fauna: A connection between terrestrial
1077 diversification and change in the marine biosphere. *Geobios*, **32**(2), pp.131-144.
- 1078 Berger, W., 1957. Eine spiralförmig Lebensspur aus dem Rupel der bayrischen Beckenmolasse.
1079 *Neues Jb. Geol. Paläontol. Monatsh*, 538–540.
- 1080 Bradley, G.M., Redfern, J., Hodgetts, D., George, A.D. and Wach, G.D., 2018. The applicability
1081 of modern tidal analogues to pre-vegetation paralic depositional models. *Sedimentology*,
1082 **65**(6), 2171-2201.
- 1083 Bruguier, O., Bosch, D., Pidgeon, R.T., Byrne, D.I. and Harris, L.B., 1999. U-Pb chronology of
1084 the Northampton Complex, Western Australia—evidence for Grenvillian sedimentation,
1085 metamorphism and deformation and geodynamic implications. *Contributions to Mineralogy
1086 and Petrology*, **136**(3), 258-272.
- 1087 Buatois, L.A. and Gabriela Mángano, M., 2013. Ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity: significance
1088 and caveats. *Lethaia*, **46**(3), 281-292.
- 1089 Buatois, L.A. and Mángano, M.G., 2018. The other biodiversity record: Innovations in animal-
1090 substrate interactions through geologic time. *GSA Today*, **28**(10), 4-10.
- 1091 Buatois, L.A., Mángano, M.G. and Maples, C.G., 1997. The paradox of nonmarine ichnofaunas
1092 in tidal rhythmites; integrating sedimentologic and ichnologic data from the Late Cretaceous
1093 of eastern Kansas, USA. *Palaaios*, **12**(5), 467-481.
- 1094 Buatois, L.A., Mángano, M.G., Genise, J.F. and Taylor, T.N., 1998. The ichnologic record of the
1095 continental invertebrate invasion; evolutionary trends in environmental expansion, ecospace
1096 utilization, and behavioral complexity. *Palaaios*, **13**(3), 217-240.

- 1097 Buatois, L.A., Gingras, M.K., MacEachern, J., Mángano, M.G., Zonneveld, J.P., Pemberton, S.G.,
 1098 Netto, R.G. and Martin, A., 2005. Colonization of brackish-water systems through time:
 1099 evidence from the trace-fossil record. *Palaaios*, **20**(4), 321-347.
- 1100 Buatois, L.A., Wisshak, M., Wilson, M.A. and Mángano, M.G., 2017. Categories of architectural
 1101 designs in trace fossils: A measure of ichnodisparity. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **164**, pp.102-181.
- 1102 Clarke, E. de C., and Teichert, C., 1948. Cretaceous stratigraphy of Lower Murchison River
 1103 area, Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, **32**, 19-46.
- 1104 Collette, J.H., Hagadorn, J.W., and Lacelle, M.A., 2010. Dead in their tracks—Cambrian
 1105 arthropods and their traces from intertidal sandstones of Quebec and Wisconsin. *Palaaios*,
 1106 **25**(8), 475-486.
- 1107 Condon, M.A., 1965. The geology of the Carnarvon basin, Western Australia. Australian
 1108 Government Publ. Service.
- 1109 Daerr, A., Lee, P., Lanuza, J. and Clément, É., 2003. Erosion patterns in a sediment layer.
 1110 *Physical Review E*, **67**(6), p.065201.
- 1111 Dalrymple, R.W., 2010. Tidal depositional systems. *In: Facies Models 4* (Ed. N.P. James and
 1112 R.W. Dalrymple), pp. 201-231. Geological Association of Canada; St. John's.
- 1113 Dalrymple, R.W. and Choi, K., 2007. Morphologic and facies trends through the fluvial–marine
 1114 transition in tide-dominated depositional systems: a schematic framework for environmental
 1115 and sequence-stratigraphic interpretation. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **81**(3-4), 135-174.
- 1116 Davies, N.S. and Gibling, M.R., 2010. Cambrian to Devonian evolution of alluvial systems: the
 1117 sedimentological impact of the earliest land plants. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **98**(3-4), 171-200.
- 1118 Davies, N.S. and Gibling, M.R., 2012. Early Cambrian metazoans in fluvial environments,
 1119 evidence of the non-marine Cambrian radiation: Comment. *Geology*, **40**(6), e270.
- 1120 Davies, N.S. and Shillito, A.P., 2018. Incomplete but intricately detailed: The inevitable
 1121 preservation of true substrates in a time-deficient stratigraphic record. *Geology*, **46**(8), 679-
 1122 682.
- 1123 Davies, N.S., Sansom, I.J. and Turner, P., 2006. Trace fossils and paleoenvironments of a late
 1124 Silurian marginal-marine/alluvial system: the Ringerike Group (Lower Old Red Sandstone),
 1125 Oslo Region, Norway. *Palaaios*, **21**(1), 46-62.
- 1126 Davies, N.S., Sansom, I.J., Albanesi, G.L. and Cespedes, R., 2007. Ichnology, palaeoecology and
 1127 taphonomy of a Gondwanan early vertebrate habitat: Insights from the Ordovician Anzaldo
 1128 Formation, Bolivia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **249**(1-2), 18-35.

- 1129 Davies, N.S., Rygel, M.C. and Gibling, M.R., 2011a. REPLY: Marine Influence in the Upper
1130 Ordovician Juniata Formation (Potters Mills, Pennsylvania): Implications for the History of Life
1131 on Land. *Palaaios*, **26**(10), 674-676.
- 1132 Davies, N.S., Sansom, I.J., Nicoll, R.S. and Ritchie, A., 2011b. Ichnofacies of the Stairway
1133 Sandstone fish-fossil beds (Middle Ordovician, Northern Territory, Australia). *Alcheringa: An
1134 Australasian Journal of Palaeontology*, **35**(4), 553-569.
- 1135 Davies, N.S., Liu, A.G., Gibling, M.R. and Miller, R.F., 2016. Resolving MISS conceptions and
1136 misconceptions: a geological approach to sedimentary surface textures generated by
1137 microbial and abiotic processes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **154**, 210-246.
- 1138 Davies, N.S., Shillito, A.P. and McMahon, W.J., 2017. Short-term evolution of primary
1139 sedimentary surface textures (microbial, abiotic, ichnological) on a dry stream bed: Modern
1140 observations and ancient implications. *Palaaios*, **32**(3), 125-134.
- 1141 Davies, N.S., McMahon, W.J. and Shillito, A.P., 2018. A Graphic Method for Depicting
1142 Horizontal Direction Data on Vertical Outcrop Photographs. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*,
1143 **88**(4), 516-521.
- 1144 Davies, N.S., Shillito, A.P., Slater, B., Liu, A.G. and McMahon, W.J., 2020. Evolutionary
1145 synchrony of Earth's biosphere and sedimentary-stratigraphic record. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
1146 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102979>
- 1147 Draganits, E., Braddy, S.J. and Briggs, D.E., 2001. A Gondwanan coastal arthropod ichnofauna
1148 from the Muth Formation (Lower Devonian, Northern India): paleoenvironment and
1149 tracemaker behavior. *Palaaios*, **16**(2), 126-147.
- 1150 Ekdale, A.A., Bromley, R.G. and Pemberton, S.G., 1984. Ichnology - Trace Fossils in
1151 Sedimentology and Stratigraphy. Society for Sedimentary Geology Short Course Notes 15, 316
1152 pp. SEPM, Tulsa.
- 1153 Evans, R., Mory, A.J. and Tait, A.M., 2007. An outcrop gamma ray study of the Tumblagooda
1154 Sandstone, Western Australia. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, **57**(1-2), pp.37-
1155 59.
- 1156 Friedman, G.M. and Sanders, J.E., 1974. Positive-relief bedforms on modern tidal flat that
1157 resemble molds of flutes and grooves; implications for geopetal criteria and for origin and
1158 classification of bedforms. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, **44**(1), 181-189.
- 1159 Friedman, M. and Sallan, L.C., 2012. Five hundred million years of extinction and recovery: a
1160 Phanerozoic survey of large-scale diversity patterns in fishes. *Palaeontology*, **55**(4), 707-742.
- 1161 Fritsch, A. 1883. Studien im Gebiete der böhmischen Kreideformation. Paläontologische
1162 Untersuchungen der einzelnen Schichten. 3. Die Iserschichten. - Das Archiv für die

- 1163 naturwissenschaftliche Landesdurchforschung von Böhmen (Comissions-Verlag) Prague **5** (2):
1164 1-137
- 1165 Fruergaard, M., Andersen, T.J., Johannessen, P.N., Nielsen, L.H. and Pejrup, M., 2013. Major
1166 coastal impact induced by a 1000-year storm event. *Nature Scientific Reports*, **3**, 1051.
- 1167 Gibb, S., Chatterton, B.D. and Pemberton, S., 2009. Arthropod ichnofossils from the
1168 Ordovician Stairway Sandstone of central Australia. *Memoirs of the Association of*
1169 *Australasian Palaeontologists*, **37**, 695-716.
- 1170 Gingras, M.K., MacEachern, J.A. and Dashtgard, S.E., 2012. The potential of trace fossils as
1171 tidal indicators in bays and estuaries. *Sedimentary Geology*, **279**, 97-106.
- 1172 Gorter, J.D., Nicoll, R.S. and Foster, C.B., 1994. Lower Palaeozoic facies in the Carnarvon Basin,
1173 Western Australia: stratigraphy and hydrocarbon prospectivity.
- 1174 Gouramanis, C. and McLoughlin, S., 2016. Siluro-Devonian trace fossils from the Mereenie
1175 Sandstone, Kings Canyon, Watarrka National Park, Amadeus Basin, Northern Territory,
1176 Australia. *Alcheringa: An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology*, **40**(1), 118-128.
- 1177 Griffing, D.H., Bridge, J.S. and Hotton, C.L., 2000. Coastal-fluvial palaeoenvironments and
1178 plant palaeoecology of the Lower Devonian (Emsian), Gaspé Bay, Québec, Canada. *Geological*
1179 *Society, London, Special Publications*, **180**(1), 61-84.
- 1180 Hagadorn, J.W., Collette, J.H. and Belt, E.S., 2011. Eolian-aquatic deposits and faunas of the
1181 middle Cambrian Potsdam Group. *Palaios*, **26**(5), 314-334.
- 1182 Heer, O., 1877. *Florafossilis Helvetias. Die vorweltliche flora der Schweiz*. J. Wurster and Co.,
1183 Zurich.
- 1184 Hocking, R.M., 1991. The Silurian Tumblagooda Sandstone, Western Australia (Vol. 27).
1185 Geological Survey of Western Australia.
- 1186 Holland, S.M., 2016. The non-uniformity of fossil preservation. *Philosophical Transactions of*
1187 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **371**(1699), 20150130.
- 1188 Howard, J.D. and Frey, R.W., 1984. Characteristic trace fossils in nearshore to offshore
1189 sequences, Upper Cretaceous of east-central Utah. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **21**(2),
1190 200-219.
- 1191 Iasky, R.P. and Mory, A.J., 1999. Geology and petroleum potential of the Gascoyne platform
1192 southern Carnarvon basin Western Australia (No. 69). Perth: Geological Survey of Western
1193 Australia.
- 1194 Keighley, D.G. and Pickerill, R.K., 1994. The ichnogenus *Beaconites* and its distinction from
1195 *Ancorichnus* and *Taenidium*. *Palaeontology*, **37**(2), 305-338.

- 1196 Kenrick, P., Wellman, C.H., Schneider, H. and Edgecombe, G.D., 2012. A timeline for
1197 terrestrialization: consequences for the carbon cycle in the Palaeozoic. *Philosophical
1198 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **367**(1588), 519-536.
- 1199 Kettanah, Y.A., Mory, A.J., Wach, G.D. and Wingate, M.T.D., 2015. Provenance of the
1200 Ordovician–lower Silurian Tumblagooda Sandstone, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of
1201 Earth Sciences*, **62**(7), 817-830.
- 1202 Knox, L.W. and Gordon, E.A., 1999. Ostracodes as indicators of brackish water environments
1203 in the Catskill Magnafacies (Devonian) of New York State. *Palaeogeography,
1204 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **148**(1-3), 9-22.
- 1205 Krapovickas, V., Mángano, M.G., Buatois, L.A. and Marsicano, C.A., 2016. Integrated
1206 ichnofacies models for deserts: recurrent patterns and megatrends. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
1207 **157**, 61-85.
- 1208 Libby, W.G. and De Laeter, J.R., 1998. Biotite Rb-Sr age evidence for early Palaeozoic
1209 tectonism along the cratonic margin in southwestern Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth
1210 Sciences*, **45**(4), 623-632.
- 1211 MacNaughton, R.B., Cole, J.M., Dalrymple, R.W., Braddy, S.J., Briggs, D.E. and Lukie, T.D.,
1212 2002. First steps on land: Arthropod trackways in Cambrian-Ordovician eolian sandstone,
1213 southeastern Ontario, Canada. *Geology*, **30**(5), 391-394.
- 1214 MacNaughton, R.B., Hagadorn, J.W. and Dott Jr, R.H., 2018. Cambrian wave-dominated
1215 tidal-flat deposits, central Wisconsin, USA. *Sedimentology*.
- 1216 Mángano, M.G., Buatois, L.A. and Rindsberg, A., 2003. Carboniferous Psammichnites:
1217 systematic re-evaluation, taphonomy and autecology. *Ichnos*, **9**(1-2), 1-22.
- 1218 Marengo, K.N. and Hagadorn, J.W., 2019. Big bedding planes: Outcrop size and spatial
1219 heterogeneity influence trace fossil analyses. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
1220 Palaeoecology*, **513**, 14-24.
- 1221 Markwitz, V., Kirkland, C.L., Wyrwoll, K.H., Hancock, E.A., Evans, N.J. and Lu, Y., 2017.
1222 Variations in zircon provenance constrain age and geometry of an Early Paleozoic rift in the
1223 Pinjarra Orogen, East Gondwana. *Tectonics*, **36**(11), 2477-2496.
- 1224 Marriott, S.B. and Wright, V.P., 1993. Palaeosols as indicators of geomorphic stability in two
1225 Old Red Sandstone alluvial suites, South Wales. *Journal of the Geological Society*, **150**(6),
1226 1109-1120.
- 1227 Marriott, S.B., Morrissey, L.B. and Hillier, R.D., 2009. Trace fossil assemblages in Upper Silurian
1228 tuff beds: evidence of biodiversity in the Old Red Sandstone of southwest Wales, UK.
1229 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **274**(3-4), 160-172.

- 1230 McIlroy, D., 2012. Early Cambrian metazoans in fluvial environments, evidence of the non-
1231 marine Cambrian radiation: Comment. *Geology*, **40**(6), e269.
- 1232 McMahon, W.J. and Davies, N.S., 2018. Evolution of alluvial mudrock forced by early land
1233 plants. *Science*, **359**, 1022-1024.
- 1234 McNamara, K.J., 2008. Earth and life: origins of Phanerozoic diversity. *Australian Journal of*
1235 *Earth Sciences*, **55**(8), 1023-1036.
- 1236 McNamara, K.J., 2014. Early Paleozoic colonisation of the land—evidence from the
1237 Tumblagooda Sandstone, Southern Carnarvon Basin, Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal*
1238 *Society of Western Australia*, **97**, 111-132.
- 1239 McNamara, K.J. and Trewin, N.H., 1993. A euthycarcinoid arthropod from the Silurian of
1240 Western Australia. *Palaeontology*, **36**, 319-335.
- 1241 Miller, M.F., 1979. Paleoenvironmental distribution of trace fossils in the Catskill deltaic
1242 complex, New York State. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **28**, 117-141.
- 1243 Minter, N.J., Buatois, L.A., Mángano, M.G., MacNaughton, R.B., Davies, N.S. and Gibling, M.R.,
1244 2016a. The prelude to continental invasion. In: *The Trace-Fossil Record of Major Evolutionary*
1245 *Events (157-204)*. Springer, Dordrecht.
- 1246 Minter, N.J., Buatois, L.A., Mángano, M.G., Davies, N.S., Gibling, M.R. and Labandeira, C.,
1247 2016b. The establishment of continental ecosystems. In: *The Trace-Fossil Record of Major*
1248 *Evolutionary Events (205-324)*. Springer, Dordrecht.
- 1249 Minter, N.J., Buatois, L.A., Mángano, M.G., Davies, N.S., Gibling, M.R., MacNaughton, R.B. and
1250 Labandeira, C.C., 2017. Early bursts of diversification defined the faunal colonization of land.
1251 *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, **1**(7), p.0175.
- 1252 Mory, A.J., Nicoll, R.S. and Gorter, J.D., 1998. Lower Palaeozoic correlations and thermal
1253 maturity, Carnarvon Basin, WA.
- 1254 Narbonne, G.M., Gibling, M.R., Jones, B., 1979. Polarichnus, a new trace fossil from Siluro-
1255 Devonian strata of Arctic Canada. *J. Paleontol.* **53**, 133–141.
- 1256 Narbonne, G.M., 1984. Trace fossils in Upper Silurian tidal flat to basin slope carbonates of
1257 Arctic Canada. *Journal of Paleontology*, **58**(2), 398-415.
- 1258 Neef, G., 2004a. Non-marine? Late Silurian-Early Devonian trace fossils, Darling Basin,
1259 western New South Wales. *Alcheringa*, **28**(2), 389-399.
- 1260 Neef, G., 2004b. Devonian arthropod trackways from the fluvial Ravendale Formation,
1261 western New South Wales. *Alcheringa*, **28**(2), 401-402.

- 1262 Netto, R.G., 2006. Skolithos-dominated piperock in nonmarine environments: an example
1263 from the Triassic Caturrita Formation, southern Brazil. *SPECIAL PUBLICATION-SEPM*, **88**, 109-
1264 121.
- 1265 Olivero, E.B., Buatois, L.A., Scasso, R.A., 2004. Paradictyodora antarctica: a new complex
1266 vertical spreite trace fossil from the Upper Cretaceous-Paleogene of Antarctica and Tierra del
1267 Fuego, Argentina. *J. Paleontol.* **78** (4), 783–789.
- 1268 Öpik, A.A., 1959. Tumblagooda Sandstone trails and their age. *Bur. Miner. Resour. Aust. Rep*,
1269 **38**, 3-20.
- 1270 Osgood, R.G., 1970. Trace fossils of the Cincinnati area. *Palaeontogr. Am.* **6**, 281–444.
- 1271 Quenstedt, F.A., 1879. Petrefactenkunde Deutschlands. I. Abt. v. 6. Korallen. Die Röhrenund
1272 Steinkorallen. L. F. Fues, Tübingen.
- 1273 Retallack, G.J., 2009. Cambrian, Ordovician and Silurian pedostratigraphy and global events in
1274 Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **56**(4), 571-586.
- 1275 Richards, J.R., Blockley, J.G. and De Laeter, J.R., 1985. Rb-Sr and Pb isotope data from the
1276 Northampton Block, Western Australia. *Bull Proc Australia Inst Min Metall*, **290**(5), 43-55.
- 1277 Richter, R., 1850. Aus der thiiringischen Grauwacke. *Dtsch. Geol. Ges. Z.* **2**, 198–206.
- 1278 Romano, M., Whyte, M.A., 2015. A review of the trace fossil Selenichnites. *Proc. Yorks. Geol.*
1279 *Soc.* **60** (4), 275–288.
- 1280 Salter, J.W., 1857. On annelide-burrows and surface-markings from the Cambrian rocks of the
1281 Longmynd, No. 2. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* **13**, 199–206.
- 1282 Schlirf, M., 2006. Trusheimichnus New ichnogenus from the Middle Triassic of the Germanic
1283 Basin, Southern Germany. *Ichnos*, **13**(4), 249-254.
- 1284 Shchepetkina, A., Gingras, M.K., Mángano, M.G. and Buatois, L.A., 2019. Fluvio-tidal transition
1285 zone: Terminology, sedimentological and ichnological characteristics, and significance. *Earth-*
1286 *Science Reviews*, **192**, 214-235.
- 1287 Shillito, A.P. and Davies, N.S., 2017. Archetypally Siluro-Devonian ichnofauna in the Cowie
1288 Formation, Scotland: implications for the myriapod fossil record and Highland Boundary Fault
1289 Movement. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **128**(5-6), 815-828.
- 1290 Shillito, A.P. and Davies, N.S., 2019a. Death near the shoreline, not life on land: Ordovician
1291 arthropod trackways in the Borrowdale Volcanic Group, UK. *Geology*, **47**(1), 55-58.
- 1292 Shillito, A.P. and Davies, N.S., 2019b. Death near the shoreline, not life on land: Ordovician
1293 arthropod trackways in the Borrowdale Volcanic Group, UK: REPLY. *Geology*, **47**(5), e464.

- 1294 Suarez, S.E., Brookfield, M.E., Catlos, E.J. and Stöckli, D.F., 2017. A U-Pb zircon age constraint
1295 on the oldest-recorded air-breathing land animal. *PLoS one*, **12**(6), e0179262.
- 1296 Tegzes, P., Albert, R., Paskvan, M., Barabási, A.L., Vicsek, T. and Schiffer, P., 1999. Liquid-
1297 induced transitions in granular media. *Physical Review E*, **60**(5), 5823.
- 1298 Teichert, C., 1947. Stratigraphy of Western Australia. *AAPG Bulletin*, **31**(1), 1-70.
- 1299 Tipper, J.C., 2015. The importance of doing nothing: stasis in sedimentation systems and its
1300 stratigraphic effects. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **404**(1), 105-122.
- 1301 Trewin, N.H., 1993a. Controls on fluvial deposition in mixed fluvial and aeolian facies within
1302 the Tumblagooda Sandstone (Late Silurian) of Western Australia. *Sedimentary Geology*, **85**(1-
1303 4), 387-400.
- 1304 Trewin, N.H., 1993b. Mixed aeolian sandsheet and fluvial deposits in the Tumblagooda
1305 Sandstone, Western Australia. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **73**(1), 219-
1306 230.
- 1307 Trewin, N.H., McNamara, K.J., 1994. Arthropods invade the land: trace fossils and
1308 palaeoenvironments of the Tumblagooda Sandstone (?late Silurian) of Kalbarri, Western
1309 Australia. *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. Earth Sci.* **85**, 177–210.
- 1310 Vecoli, M., Meyer-Berthaud, B. and Clément, G., 2010. The terrestrialization process:
1311 modelling complex interactions at the biosphere-geosphere interface-Introduction.
1312 *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **339**(1), 1-3.
- 1313 Veevers, J.J., 1971. Phanerozoic history of Western Australia related to continental drift.
1314 *Journal of the Geological Society of Australia*, **18**(2), 87-96.
- 1315 Vermeij, G.J. and Grosberg, R.K., 2010. The great divergence: when did diversity on land
1316 exceed that in the sea?. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **50**(4), 675-682.
- 1317 Walker, E.F., 1985. Arthropod ichnofauna of the Old Red sandstone at Dunure and Montrose,
1318 Scotland. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of The Royal Society of Edinburgh*,
1319 **76**(2-3), 287-297.
- 1320 Wilson, H.M. and Anderson, L.I., 2004. Morphology and taxonomy of paleozoic millipedes
1321 (Diplopoda: Chilognatha: Archipolypoda) from Scotland. *Journal of Paleontology*, **78**(1), 169-
1322 184.
- 1323 Wizevich, M.C., Ahern, J. and Meyer, C.A., 2019. The Triassic of southwestern Switzerland—
1324 Marine or non-marine, that is the question! *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology*,
1325 *Palaeoecology*, **514**, 577-592.

Figure captions

Figure 1 – The location of outcrop of the Tumblagooda Sandstone along the Murchison River and coastal cliffs of Kalbarri National Park, Western Australia. A) Exposure of the Tumblagooda Sandstone divided into facies associations as interpreted herein, following Hocking (1991). Locations of logged sections examined in detail are marked in orange. B) A schematic log of the stratigraphy of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Numbered locations from A are marked with the stratigraphic thickness and coverage of the sections observed. Facies associations are separated by dashed horizontal lines, and the total stratigraphic areas studied are highlighted in pale green.

Figure 2 – Locations of boreholes in which the Tumblagooda Sandstone has been identified in core (red), to the north of the outcrop area of the Tumblagooda Sandstone along the Murchison River (orange). Map area shown in figure 1 is highlighted by the blue box.

Figure 3 – Regional Stratigraphy. Left panel shows the regional stratigraphy as currently understood following Mory et al. (1998). Right panel shows an alternative explanation for the regional stratigraphy proposed herein, where the Tumblagooda Sandstone is a more proximal lateral equivalent to the Dirk Hartog Group to the North.

Figure 4 – Cartoon map showing common sedimentary environments at the marine to non-marine boundary. Lower maps show descriptive terms that may be used to describe instances of these environments in terms of general environment, water salinity and water coverage. Extent of descriptive terms highlighted in purple – note that the terms are not mutually exclusive. See Table 1 for definitions.

Figure 5 – A summary of the stratigraphy and palaeocurrent directions for FA1-FA4. A) FA1 – the 12 m sedimentary log was taken at Four Ways (location 6, figure 1), showing the characteristic large-scale cross bedded coarse sandstone of FA1, with some convolute laminated beds. B) FA2 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at the Z-Bend (location 5, figure 1) showing the three characteristic facies of FA2 – cross bedded sandstone, densely bioturbated sandstone, and planar bedded sandstone. C) FA3 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at Stone Wall (location 16, figure 1) showing the dominant trough cross bedded facies of FA3, with subordinate bioturbated horizons. D) FA4 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at Rainbow Valley (location 22, figure 1) showing the characteristic facies of FA2 – trough cross bedded sandstone, densely bioturbated trough cross bedded sandstone, and planar bedded sandstone. E) The palaeocurrent rose for FA1 shows a strongly dominant flow to the north and north-west. F) The palaeocurrent rose for FA2 shows variable flow directions, dominantly to the south/south-east, with a minor northerly flow. G) The palaeocurrent rose for FA3 shows a very strongly unimodal flow to the north-west. H) The palaeocurrent rose for FA4 shows a consistent flow to the north-west, with very minor evidence for an opposing southerly flow.

Figure 6 – The stratigraphic distribution and relative abundance of identified ichnospecies within the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Infaunal traces are illustrated to the left, and epifaunal

traces to the right of the figure. Pale green highlights the parts of the stratigraphy that have been studied in detail (see figure 1). This shows by far the greatest abundance and diversity of trace fossils occurs within FA2, with a moderate abundance of traces in both FA3 and FA4, but a low diversity in both facies associations.

Figure 7 – Examples of the variable forms of ripple marks and adhesion marks known from FA2 of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. A) Washed out ripples – suggesting a high energy flow across parts of the substrate removed the existing bedforms. B) Deflected ripples – variable ripple crest directions across a small area reveal a variable flow direction, or flow outwards away from a source. C) Ladder ripples – revealing a later secondary flow perpendicular to the major ripple crests, recording drainage of water from the substrate. D) Adhesion warts – small adhesion marks revealing no preferential wind direction was involved in their formation. E) Setulfs – elongate adhesion marks, with the direction of elongation parallel to the prevailing wind under which they were formed. F) Adhesion ripples – ripple marks covered in adhesion structures, with the formational wind direction perpendicular to ripple crests. G) V-ing drainage marks on a surface on the Fourways trail. Faint Vs have been highlighted in the top right and centre bottom of the image, and are pointing towards the bottom. H) Drainage marks on ripple crests, showing where water has sat at different levels within the troughs of the ripples. I) Tadpole nest ripples – ripples in multiple different directions coalesced, showing drainage has occurred in differing directions to the main flow direction. Black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 8 – A surface with bubble marks (black arrows), patchy ripples and *Rusophycus* (white arrows). Interpreted as potentially representative of a microbial film covering the original substrate. A) Overview of the surface. B) Close-up showing *Rusophycus*, truncated ripple marks, and bubble marks. C) Close-up showing bubble marks on an otherwise unmarked part of the bedding surface. Black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 9 – An example of a barform preserved as cross bedded sandstone within FA2 at The Loop. A) A view of part of the barform, with the topset and bottomset marked with thick black lines, and reactivation surfaces marked in blue. B) A depositional surface from within the barform covered in adhesion marks, showing that this surface was exposed subaerially during a period of non-deposition. C) A depositional surface with several examples of *Diplichnites*, revealing that animals traversed the surface during sedimentary stasis. D) A vertical burrow, cutting obliquely through a sub-horizontal depositional surface. This burrow appears to originate from higher up within the barform, likely the horizontal top surface. Geologist is 1.8 m tall, black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 10 – Sedimentological evidence for tidal deposition in parts of FA2. All features were observed at The Loop. A) Herringbone cross laminated sandstone. The beds in the base of the photo are dipping to the right of the image, and the overlying beds (above the ripple marked surface) are dipping to the left. B) Mud draped ripple marks, recording low energy deposition associated with the slack-water phase of a tidal cycle. C, D) Reverse climbing ripples – ripple

marks climbing in an opposing direction to the underlying cross-beds, suggesting reversing flow direction. Black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 11 – Sedimentological evidence for aeolian deposition in parts of FA2. A) Pinstripe laminated fine sandstone, with a band of coarse sandstone at the base and cutting through the middle, recording the original topography of the surface. This is overlain by further pinstripe-laminated sandstone and a top surface with adhesion marks. Observed in cliffs opposite the base of the trail, Z-Bend. B) A line drawing highlighting the key features in A. The coarse surface carving through the middle of the pinstripe laminated sandstone is interpreted as an aeolian deflation surface. The area shown in the photo (A) is highlighted by the blue box. C) A close up of pinstripe laminated fine sandstone. D) A close up of a layer of highly rounded coarse sandstone, interpreted as ‘millet seed’ texture, from Fourways. Black scale bar – 10 cm, red scale bar – 1 cm.

Figure 12 – An overview of the three distinct types of outcrop of Tumblagooda Sandstone that occur in the river gorges and coastal cliffs of Kalbarri National Park. Block diagrams show the hypothetical distribution of observed trace fossils in a 3x3 unit cube, if units contain vertical (blue), horizontal (red) or no (yellow) traces. Grey shows areas which cannot be observed, and absent units show those lost to erosion. Pie charts show proportion of existing vertical traces (blue/grey) and horizontal traces (red/grey) which can be observed with a given outcrop style, and the relative bias towards observed vertical/horizontal traces (red/blue) given equal proportions existing within the volume. Type 1 – Stepped Exposure. A) An example of stepped exposure from The Loop. B) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. C) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube. Type 2 – Coastal Exposure. D) An example of coastal exposure from Eagle Gorge. E) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. F) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube. Type 3 – Cliff Exposure. G) An example of cliff exposure from Hawks Head Lookout. H) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. I) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube.

Figure 13 – An overview of the depositional environment of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Main schematic shows the broad depositional environment for all facies associations. Small schematics show a more detailed plan view highlighting the different sub-environments that are included within each facies association. ESB – emergent sand bar, SSB – submerged sand bar. Photos illustrate features from different areas of the facies associations as they are observed in the rock record. A) Large scale cross bedded coarse sandstone containing rip-up clasts. B) Pinstripe lamination. C) Adhesion marks. D) Densely bioturbated ‘*Heimdallia* Bed’. E) Cross beds from barform deposits. F) A scoured horizontal surface showing truncated tops of trough cross beds. G) *Psammichnites* on the top of truncated cross beds. H) *Skolithos* in a

thin planar coarse sandstone bed. I) Dense piperock fabric cutting through large trough cross beds.

Figure 14 – Trace fossils in the Tumblagooda Sandstone previously identified by Trewin and McNamara (1994). This includes traces which are here reassigned to new ichnogenera, and traces which are considered to be ichnotaxonically invalid. A) *Cruziana* isp. in positive hyporelief from FA2 at The Loop. B) *Didymaulichnus lyelli* in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. C) *Tumblagoodichnus hockingi* in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. D) ‘Meander Loop Trail’ described and illustrated with a line drawing by Trewin and McNamara (1994), although photographic evidence is not provided. Found in negative epirelief on a bedding surface of a planar bedded sandstone ascribed to FA2, at The Loop. E) *Diplichnites gouldi*, in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. F) One side of a trackway thought to be assigned to *Paleohelcura* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. A more complete specimen not observed in this study is figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994). G) *Protichnites* isp. in negative epirelief with a large central drag mark, in FA2 at The Loop. H) *Siskemia* isp. A, with parallel rows of footprints and two parallel central drag marks, in negative epirelief, from FA2 at The Loop. I) *Crescentichnus langridgei*, originally ascribed to *Selenichnites langridgei* by Trewin and McNamara (1994) this ichnospecies has since been considered synonymous (Romano and Whyte, 2015). In negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. J) *Rusophycus trefolia* in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. K) Open burrows ascribed to *Didymauliponomos rowei*, based upon a clearer example figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994) which was not directly observed during our work. Preserved in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. L) *Planolites* isp. in positive epirelief from FA3 at Red Bluff. M) *Psammichnites* isp. originally ascribed to *Aulichnites*, this ichnogenus was considered synonymous (Mángano et al., 2003), and hence has been reassigned. In positive epirelief, from FA3 at Red Bluff. N) *Daedalus* isp. viewed in vertical section from FA4 at Rainbow Valley. O) *Heimdallia chatwini*, the most commonly observed trace fossil in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in positive epirelief from FA2 at Little Z-Bend. P) Traces originally assigned to the new ichnogenus and ichnospecies ‘*Lunatubichnus wittecarrensis*’ (Trewin and McNamara, 1994), close examination has revealed they are in fact a product of unusual weathering of *Skolithos*, caused by cementation of the sediment surrounding the original burrow. As such, *L. wittecarrensis* is considered ichnotaxonically invalid. Q) *Skolithos linearis*, viewed in vertical section from FA3 at Eagle Gorge. R) Burrows assigned to *Diplocraterion parallelum* based on a transverse section along a bedding surface. The trace appears to consist of paired burrows attached with spreite, from FA4 at Tumblagooda Hill. Black scale bar is 10 cm.

Figure 15 – Trace fossils in the Tumblagooda Sandstone identified for the first time in this study. A) *Siskemia* isp. B in negative epirelief, from FA2 at The Loop. Note the paired medial grooves and 6 discernible footfall impressions per cycle. B) *Beaconites capronus* on a bedding surface from FA2 at The Loop. Note the sharply angular meniscate infill and clear burrow lining. C) *Taenidium serpentinum* in positive epirelief from FA2 at Fourways. Note the large, homogenous meniscate infill and lack of a burrow lining. D) *Phycodes* isp. in positive epirelief

from FA4 at Red Hill. E) *Helicodromites* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. The shaft of the burrow forms a tight, translated spiral. F) *Paradictyodora* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at the Z-Bend. In association with many examples of *Heimdallia chatwini*, this trace differs from the shape of the translated J-tube forming the main structure of the burrow, as it tapers downwards. G) *Trusheimichnus* isp. in both positive and negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. The sole example of this trace observed in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, and a new ichnospecies, note the circular depression to the right of the image, and the pyramidal mound to the left of this hollow. H) *Funalichnus strangulatus*, as a loose trace from ?FA4 at Eagle Gorge. This trace is distinguished most clearly from the frequently observed *Skolithos* by the pronounced ribbing down one side. I) *Laevicyclus* isp. observed in cross section from FA4 at Red Hill. Note the broad conical burrow top. J) Paired burrows observed in FA4 at Red Hill, assigned to *Arenicolites* isp. The lack of any evidence of internal spreite distinguishes these from the previously reported *Diplocraterion parallelum* (Trewin and McNamara, 1994). K) *Polarichnus* isp. observed in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. Note the characteristic reversing menisci marking the top margin of the burrow. L) *Fascifodina* isp. observed in oblique section from FA2 at The Loop. Note the wide subvertical branches from the main shaft. Black Scale bar – 10 cm, Red scale bar – 5 cm.

Figure 16 – Multivariate cluster analysis using Ward's method of trace fossil associations. This approach leads to the same six groupings of trace fossil associations as qualitative clustering based upon architectural designs present.

Figure 17 – Comparison of the typical length of *Skolithos* in the piperock fabric of FA4 vs those found as accessory traces in other associations, particularly in FA2. A) Representative *Skolithos* from FA4, beginning at the top surface beside the shrub and cutting down through all of the cross bedding. B) A representative *Skolithos* from FA2 occurring in planar bedding sandstone, showing the vastly shorter length (approximately 8x shorter). Black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 18 – A schematic view of coastal exposures of FA4, illustrating the consistency of palaeoflow and the locations within trough cross bedded units where burrows originate. A) A photo of an exposure at Rainbow Valley. B) A schematic drawing of the outcrop, highlighting the different trough cross bedded sediment packages. Flow directions are reported on bars below the line drawing following Davies et al. (2018), with colours corresponding to packages. The bar is aligned with the outcrop, drawn looking East. The red line illustrates the only surface where it is clear burrows originate – it appears that the system was only bioturbated during periods of stasis, following the deposition of all of the observed trough cross bedded sandstones. C) A photo of an exposure between Red Bluff and Mushroom Rock. D) The corresponding line drawing. Colours of sediment packages correspond to palaeocurrent measurements on the bars to the right of C. Bars are aligned with the outcrop, drawn looking North-East, and palaeoflow measurements are very similar to those reported in B. Again, the

red line marks the only surface where it is clear burrows originated, at the top of the outcrop. Black scale bar – 1 m.

Figure 19 – Three examples of ‘True substrates’ from the Tumblagooda Sandstone. A) shows a surface from FA2 at The Loop, recording the sequence of events which occurred at the sloping edge of a body of water. The submergent parts of the surface show ripple marks in two different directions, those formed in the deeper water record a more dominant flow whereas those from the shallower margins record the lapping water at the edge of the pond. Up-slope adhesion marks reveal wind blowing sand across the damp surface, and a ‘raft’ tells of an animal dislodging a cohesive piece of the emergent surface, either whilst entering or exiting the water. Whatever the case, it is clear this shows evidence for subaerial locomotion. B) reveals further evidence for subaerial locomotion on a more extensive bedding surface, from FA2 at The Loop. Ripple marks occur across the whole surface, with 7 distinct *Diplichnites* trackways of different sizes crossing over them and each other. Most significantly, this surface records some original topography, as there are two large, shallow depressions where the ripples fade and into which the trackways disappear and reappear. These topographic lows are interpreted as ponds on the surface, not recording the trackways as the differing mechanical properties of the saturated sediment make it less stable and cohesive. This once again provides evidence for subaerial locomotion, punctuated by a return to the water. C) illustrates a surface from FA3 at Red Bluff, recording the shaved tops of trough cross beds covered in *Psammichnites* trails such as the surfaces described by Davies and Shillito (2018). This surface records a period of sedimentary stasis following the deposition of the dune recorded as trough cross bedded sandstone and the erosional event that shaved the top of it, during which the substrate was colonised by opportunistic grazing organisms. The significance of this surface is that the subsequent depositional event, whilst occurring in an energetic environment, had no erosional capacity and covered the grazing trails without destroying them. Black scale bar – 10 cm.

Figure 20 – Examples of where the 6 groups of trace fossil associations occur within the depositional environments responsible for the facies associations, following the overarching depositional setting illustrated in figure 13. A-F mark areas within the depositional environment where these groups of trace fossils occur, and the approximate ichnodisparity of each group of trace fossil associations is illustrated as pie charts. These pie charts show that all groups have a fairly low ichnodisparity (2-5) and each is dominated by traces of a single architectural design (although this is in part due to how associations were grouped). Typically, horizontal and sub-horizontal traces are most common in the intertidal facies, whereas vertical burrows are most common in the offshore facies of FA4.

Figure 21 – Pie charts showing the relative proportions of different architectural styles of trace fossil observed in facies associations 2, 3 and 4. Horizontal traces are marked in shades of red, vertical traces in shades of blue, and traces with both horizontal and vertical components in shades of purple. The expected relative proportions of horizontal to vertical traces in each

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1559 facies association (based upon trace fossil information from figure 12 and dominant outcrop
1560 style from figures A, B, C) are shown in the small red-blue pie charts. This shows FA2 and FA3
1561 both contain a far greater than expected proportion of horizontal trace fossils than can be
1562 accounted for by outcrop bias, and FA4 contains a higher than expected proportion of vertical
1563 traces, suggesting these signals are based on behavioural factors.

1564 Figure 22 – Histograms comparing ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity in lower Palaeozoic
1565 settings with the Tumblagooda Sandstone (using data from Minter et al., 2016a,b). A) Bulk
1566 ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity for all marine, transitional, and non-marine settings during
1567 the middle Cambrian to middle Silurian, late Silurian and Devonian. Dark lines and numbers
1568 show the mean ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity within individual formations of the given
1569 ages and facies. B) Ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity for FA2, FA3 and FA4 of the Tumblagooda
1570 Sandstone.

1571

1572 Table 1. Definitions of environmental descriptive terms

Term	Definition
Marine	Environment that is wholly or partially, temporarily or permanently, under the influence of physical, chemical and biological influences arising within oceans or seas.
Non-marine	Environment that is wholly or partially, temporarily or permanently, under the influence of physical, chemical and biological influences arising outside of oceans or seas.
Transitional	Environment that fulfils the criteria for description as both marine and non-marine.
Saline	Setting within waters that have high concentrations of dissolved salts and other dissolved solids. Most commonly, but not exclusively, seawater.
Freshwater	Setting within waters that have low concentrations of dissolved salts and other dissolved solids. Most commonly originating as meteoric water.
Brackish	Setting within waters that have a range of moderate concentrations of dissolved salts and other dissolved solids. Most commonly originating from water mixing.
Subaqueous	Setting wholly or partially, temporarily or permanently, under water.
Subaerial	Setting wholly or partially, temporarily or permanently, outside of water and exposed to air.
Emergent	Setting that has been under water, but subsequently exposed to air due to falling water levels.

1573 Definitions of environmental descriptive terms with relevance to understanding the degree
 1574 of marine influence at the time of deposition of sedimentary strata. Note that “continental”
 1575 and “terrestrial” are here considered inferior synonyms to “non-marine”. Note also that
 1576 “submergent” could be considered an antonym to “emergent”, but is excluded here due to
 1577 the scarcity of sedimentary evidence for such a state.

1578 Table 2. Trace fossils.

	FA1	FA2	FA3	FA4
Bilobate trails and paired grooves				
<i>Cruziana</i> isp.		3		
<i>Didymaulichnus lyelli</i>		13		
<i>Tumblagoodichnus hockingi</i>		53		1
‘Meander loop trail’		1		
Trackways and scratch imprints				
<i>Diplichnites gouldi</i>		99		
<i>Paleohelcura</i> isp.		1		
<i>Protichnites</i> isp.		8		
<i>Siskemia</i> isp. A		9		
<i>Siskemia</i> isp. B		5		
Bilaterally symmetrical short, scratched impressions and burrows				
<i>Crescentichnus langridgei</i>		5		
<i>Rusophycus trefolia</i>		6		1
Passively filled horizontal burrows				
<i>Didymauliponomos rowei</i>		1		
Simple actively filled (massive) horizontal to oblique structures				
<i>Planolites</i> isp.		8	10	2
Simple actively filled (meniscate) horizontal to oblique structures				
<i>Beaconites capronus</i>		1		
<i>Taenidium serpentinum</i>		3		
Complex actively filled horizontal structures				

<i>Psammichnites</i> isp.		2	36	1
Horizontal burrows with horizontal to vertical branches				
<i>Phycodes</i> isp.				1
Horizontal helicoidal burrows				
<i>Helicodromites</i> isp.		1		
Burrows with complex vertically oriented spreiten				
<i>Daedalus</i> isp.				20
<i>Heimdallia chatwini</i>		231		2
<i>Paradictyodora</i> isp.		1		
Mound-shaped structures				
<i>Trusheimichnus</i> isp.		1		
Vertical unbranched burrows				
<i>Funalichnus strangulatus</i>				1
<i>Laevicyclus</i> isp.				2
<i>Skolithos linearis</i>		45	17	88
Vertical single U- and Y-shaped burrows				
<i>Arenicolites</i> isp.				3
<i>Diplocraterion parallelum</i>				2
<i>Polarichnus</i> isp.		3		
Burrows with shaft or bunch with downwards radiating probes				
<i>Fascifodina</i> isp.		1		

All trace fossils that have been identified from the Tumblagooda Sandstone, organised based upon architectural design following Buatois et al. (2018). 29 different ichnospecies from 14 different architectural design categories are reported.

1582 Table 3. Trace fossil associations

Association Number	Trace Fossil Associations	Facies Association(s)
T1	<i>Rusophycus</i> + <i>Tumblagoodichnus</i>	FA2a
T2	<i>Rusophycus</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA2a
T3	<i>Rusophycus</i> + <i>Crescentichnus</i>	FA2b
T4	<i>Tumblagoodichnus</i> + <i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Protichnites</i>	FA2a, FA2b
T5	<i>Tumblagoodichnus</i> + <i>Heimdallia</i>	FA2a, FA2c
T6	<i>Tumblagoodichnus</i> + <i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA2a, FA2c
T7	<i>Tumblagoodichnus</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA2a, FA2b
T8	<i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Didymaulichnus</i>	FA2a, FA2c
T9	<i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Paradictyodora</i>	FA2c
T10	<i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA2a, FA2c
T11	<i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Beaconites</i>	FA2a
T12	<i>Heimdallia</i> + <i>Planolites</i>	FA2a
T13	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Siskemia</i> isp. A	FA2a
T14	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Protichnites</i> + <i>Siskemia</i> isp. A	FA2a
T15	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Siskemia</i> isp. B	FA2a
T16	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Psammichnites</i>	FA2a
T17	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA2a
T18	<i>Diplichnites</i> + <i>Protichnites</i> + <i>Siskemia</i> isp. A + <i>Siskemia</i> isp. B	FA2a
T19	<i>Psammichnites</i> + <i>Planolites</i>	FA2a, FA3
T20	<i>Psammichnites</i> + <i>Skolithos</i>	FA3, FA4
T21	<i>Skolithos</i> + <i>Daedalus</i>	FA4
T22	<i>Skolithos</i> + <i>Laevicyclus</i> + <i>Arenicolites</i>	FA4

1583 Trace fossil associations of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. All 22 trace fossil associations that
1584 are observed in the Tumblagooda Sandstone are listed, along with the facies associations in
1585 which they occur and sedimentary structures that they are associated with.

1586 Table 4. Definite environmental origins of sedimentary structures

Feature	Marine	Non-marine	Transitional	Subaqueous	Subaerial	Emergent	Freshwater	Brackish	Saline
FA1									
Rip-up clasts				X					
Unidirectional palaeoflow									
FA2									
Adhesion marks					X	X			
Symmetric ripples				X					
Ladder ripples				X		X			
Herringbone Cross stratification	X			X					
Reversing climbing ripples	X			X					
Mud draped ripples	X			X					
Pinstripe Lamination		X			X				
Millet-seed texture		X							
FA3									
Channelized scours				X					
Mudstone intraclasts				X					
Unidirectional palaeoflow									
FA4									
Trough cross beds				X					
Unidirectional palaeoflow									

1587 Features that can be definitively identified as indicative of marine or non-marine formation,
 1588 following the classification scheme outlined in figure 4.




Figure 1 – The location of outcrop of the Tumblagooda Sandstone along the Murchison River and coastal cliffs of Kalbarri National Park, Western Australia. A) Exposure of the Tumblagooda Sandstone divided into facies associations as interpreted herein, following Hocking (1991). Locations of logged sections examined in detail are marked in orange. B) A schematic log of the stratigraphy of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Numbered locations from A are marked with the stratigraphic thickness and coverage of the sections observed. Facies associations are separated by dashed horizontal lines, and the total stratigraphic areas studied are highlighted in pale green.

353x188mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 2 – Locations of boreholes in which the Tumblagooda Sandstone has been identified in core (red), to the north of the outcrop area of the Tumblagooda Sandstone along the Murchison River (orange). Map area shown in figure 1 is highlighted by the blue box.

110x281mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3 – Regional Stratigraphy. Left panel shows the regional stratigraphy as currently understood following Mory et al. (1998). Right panel shows an alternative explanation for the regional stratigraphy proposed herein, where the Tumblagooda Sandstone is a more proximal lateral equivalent to the Dirk Hartog Group to the North.

210x200mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4 – Cartoon map showing common sedimentary environments at the marine to non-marine boundary. Lower maps show descriptive terms that may be used to describe instances of these environments in terms of general environment, water salinity and water coverage. Extent of descriptive terms highlighted in purple – note that the terms are not mutually exclusive. See Table 1 for definitions.

171x200mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 5 – A summary of the stratigraphy and palaeocurrent directions for FA1-FA4. A) FA1 – the 12 m sedimentary log was taken at Four Ways (location 6, figure 1), showing the characteristic large-scale cross bedded coarse sandstone of FA1, with some convolute laminated beds. B) FA2 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at the Z-Bend (location 5, figure 1) showing the three characteristic facies of FA2 – cross bedded sandstone, densely bioturbated sandstone, and planar bedded sandstone. C) FA3 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at Stone Wall (location 16, figure 1) showing the dominant trough cross bedded facies of FA3, with subordinate bioturbated horizons. D) FA4 – the 20 m sedimentary log was taken at Rainbow Valley (location 22, figure 1) showing the characteristic facies of FA2 – trough cross bedded sandstone, densely bioturbated trough cross bedded sandstone, and planar bedded sandstone. E) The palaeocurrent rose for FA1 shows a strongly dominant flow to the north and north-west. F) The palaeocurrent rose for FA2 shows variable flow directions, dominantly to the south/south-east, with a minor northerly flow. G) The palaeocurrent rose for FA3 shows a very strongly unimodal flow to the north-west. H) The palaeocurrent rose for FA4 shows a consistent flow to the north-west, with very minor evidence for an opposing southerly flow.

210x247mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6 – The stratigraphic distribution and relative abundance of identified ichnospecies within the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Infaunal traces are illustrated to the left, and epifaunal traces to the right of the figure. Pale green highlights the parts of the stratigraphy that have been studied in detail (see figure 1). This shows by far the greatest abundance and diversity of trace fossils occurs within FA2, with a moderate abundance of traces in both FA3 and FA4, but a low diversity in both facies associations.

239x233mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 7 – Examples of the variable forms of ripple marks and adhesion marks known from FA2 of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. A) Washed out ripples – suggesting a high energy flow across parts of the substrate removed the existing bedforms. B) Deflected ripples – variable ripple crest directions across a small area reveal a variable flow direction, or flow outwards away from a source. C) Ladder ripples – revealing a later secondary flow perpendicular to the major ripple crests, recording drainage of water from the substrate. D) Adhesion warts – small adhesion marks revealing no preferential wind direction was involved in their formation. E) Setulfs – elongate adhesion marks, with the direction of elongation parallel to the prevailing wind under which they were formed. F) Adhesion ripples – ripple marks covered in adhesion structures, with the formational wind direction perpendicular to ripple crests. G) V-ing drainage marks on a surface on the Fourways trail. Faint Vs have been highlighted in the top right and centre bottom of the image, and are pointing towards the bottom. H) Drainage marks on ripple crests, showing where water has sat at different levels within the troughs of the ripples. I) Tadpole nest ripples – ripples in multiple different directions coalesced, showing drainage has occurred in differing directions to the main flow direction. Black scale bar – 10 cm.




Figure 8 – A surface with bubble marks (black arrows), patchy ripples and Rusophycus (white arrows). Interpreted as potentially representative of a microbial film covering the original substrate. A) Overview of the surface. B) Close-up showing Rusophycus, truncated ripples marks, and bubble marks. C) Close-up showing bubble marks on an otherwise unmarked part of the bedding surface. Black scale bar – 10 cm.




Figure 9 – An example of a barform preserved as cross bedded sandstone within FA2 at The Loop. A) A view of part of the barform, with the topset and bottomset marked with thick black lines, and reactivation surfaces marked in blue. B) A depositional surface from within the barform covered in adhesion marks, showing that this surface was exposed subaerially during a period of non-deposition. C) A depositional surface with several examples of Diplichnites, revealing that animals traversed the surface during sedimentary stasis. D) A vertical burrow, cutting obliquely through a sub-horizontal depositional surface. This burrow appears to originate from higher up within the barform, likely the horizontal top surface. Geologist is 1.8 m tall, black scale bar – 10 cm.




Figure 10 – Sedimentological evidence for tidal deposition in parts of FA2. All features were observed at The Loop. A) Herringbone cross laminated sandstone. The beds in the base of the photo are dipping to the right of the image, and the overlying beds (above the ripple marked surface) are dipping to the left. B) Mud draped ripple marks, recording low energy deposition associated with the slack-water phase of a tidal cycle. C, D) Reverse climbing ripples – ripple marks climbing in an opposing direction to the underlying cross-beds, suggesting reversing flow direction. Black scale bar – 10 cm.




Figure 11 – Sedimentological evidence for aeolian deposition in parts of FA2. A) Pinstripe laminated fine sandstone, with a band of coarse sandstone at the base and cutting through the middle, recording the original topography of the surface. This is overlain by further pinstripe-laminated sandstone and a top surface with adhesion marks. Observed in cliffs opposite the base of the trail, Z-Bend. B) A line drawing highlighting the key features in A. The coarse surface carving through the middle of the pinstripe laminated sandstone is interpreted as an aeolian deflation surface. The area shown in the photo (A) is highlighted by the blue box. C) A close up of pinstripe laminated fine sandstone. D) A close up of a layer of highly rounded coarse sandstone, interpreted as 'millet seed' texture, from Fourways. Black scale bar – 10 cm, red scale bar – 1 cm.

199x205mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 12 – An overview of the three distinct types of outcrop of Tumblagooda Sandstone that occur in the river gorges and coastal cliffs of Kalbarri National Park. Block diagrams show the hypothetical distribution of observed trace fossils in a 3x3 unit cube, if units contain vertical (blue), horizontal (red) or no (yellow) traces. Grey shows areas which cannot be observed, and absent units show those lost to erosion. Pie charts show proportion of existing vertical traces (blue/grey) and horizontal traces (red/grey) which can be observed with a given outcrop style, and the relative bias towards observed vertical/horizontal traces (red/blue) given equal proportions existing within the volume. Type 1 – Stepped Exposure. A) An example of stepped exposure from The Loop. B) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. C) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube. Type 2 – Coastal Exposure. D) An example of coastal exposure from Eagle Gorge. E) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. F) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube. Type 3 – Cliff Exposure. G) An example of cliff exposure from Hawks Head Lookout. H) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every unit cube. I) Block diagram and pie charts given vertical and horizontal traces in every alternate unit cube.

208x191mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 13 – An overview of the depositional environment of the Tumblagooda Sandstone. Main schematic shows the broad depositional environment for all facies associations. Small schematics show a more detailed plan view highlighting the different sub-environments that are included within each facies association. ESB – emergent sand bar, SSB – submerged sand bar. Photos illustrate features from different areas of the facies associations as they are observed in the rock record. A) Large scale cross bedded coarse sandstone containing rip-up clasts. B) Pinstripe lamination. C) Adhesion marks. D) Densely bioturbated 'Heimdallia Bed'. E) Cross beds from barform deposits. F) A scoured horizontal surface showing truncated tops of trough cross beds. G) Psammichnites on the top of truncated cross beds. H) Skolithos in a thin planar coarse sandstone bed. I) Dense piperock fabric cutting through large trough cross beds.

210x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 14 – Trace fossils in the Tumblagooda Sandstone previously identified by Trewin and McNamara (1994). This includes traces which are here reassigned to new ichnogenera, and traces which are considered to be ichnotaxonically invalid. A) *Cruziana* isp. in positive hyporelief from FA2 at The Loop. B) *Didymaulichnus lyelli* in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. C) *Tumblagoodichnus hockingi* in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. D) 'Meander Loop Trail' described and illustrated with a line drawing by Trewin and McNamara (1994), although photographic evidence is not provided. Found in negative epirelief on a bedding surface of a planar bedded sandstone ascribed to FA2, at The Loop. E) *Diplichnites gouldi*, in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. F) One side of a trackway thought to be assigned to *Paleohelcura* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. A more complete specimen not observed in this study is figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994). G) *Protichnites* isp. in negative epirelief with a large central drag mark, in FA2 at The Loop. H) *Siskemia* isp. A, with parallel rows of footprints and two parallel central drag marks, in negative epirelief, from FA2 at The Loop. I) *Crescentichnus langridgii*, originally ascribed to *Selenichnites langridgii* by Trewin and McNamara (1994) this ichnospecies has since been considered synonymous (Romano and Whyte, 2015). In negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. J) *Rusophycus trefolia*

1
2
3 in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. K) Open burrows ascribed to *Didymauliponomos rowei*, based
4 upon a clearer example figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994) which was not directly observed during our
5 work. Preserved in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. L) *Planolites* isp. in positive epirelief from FA3 at
6 Red Bluff. M) *Psammichnites* isp. originally ascribed to *Aulichnites*, this ichnogenus was considered
7 synonymous (Mángano et al., 2003), and hence has been reassigned. In positive epirelief, from FA3 at Red
8 Bluff. N) *Daedalus* isp. viewed in vertical section from FA4 at Rainbow Valley. O) *Heimdallia chatwini*, the
9 most commonly observed trace fossil in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in positive epirelief from FA2 at Little
10 Z-Bend. P) Traces originally assigned to the new ichnogenus and ichnospecies '*Lunatubichnus wittecarrensis*'
11 (Trewin and McNamara, 1994), close examination has revealed they are in fact a product of unusual
12 weathering of *Skolithos*, caused by cementation of the sediment surrounding the original burrow. As such, *L.*
13 *wittecarrensis* is considered ichnotaxonomically invalid. Q) *Skolithos linearis*, viewed in vertical section from
14 FA3 at Eagle Gorge. R) Burrows assigned to *Diplocraterion parallelum* based on a transverse section along a
15 bedding surface. The trace appears to consist of paired burrows attached with spreite, from FA4 at
16 Tumblagooda Hill. Black scale bar is 10 cm.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
210x248mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 14 – Trace fossils in the Tumblagooda Sandstone previously identified by Trewin and McNamara (1994). This includes traces which are here reassigned to new ichnogenera, and traces which are considered to be ichnotaxononomically invalid. A) *Cruziana* isp. in positive hyporelief from FA2 at The Loop. B) *Didymaulichnus lyelli* in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. C) *Tumblagoodichnus hockingi* in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. D) ‘Meander Loop Trail’ described and illustrated with a line drawing by Trewin and McNamara (1994), although photographic evidence is not provided. Found in negative epirelief on a bedding surface of a planar bedded sandstone ascribed to FA2, at The Loop. E) *Diplichnites gouldi*, in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. F) One side of a trackway thought to be assigned to *Paleohelcura* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. A more complete specimen not observed in this study is figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994). G) *Protichnites* isp. in negative epirelief with a large central drag mark, in FA2 at The Loop. H) *Siskemia* isp. A, with parallel rows of footprints and two parallel central drag marks, in negative epirelief, from FA2 at The Loop. I) *Crescentichnus langridgei*, originally ascribed to *Selenichnites langridgei* by Trewin and McNamara (1994) this ichnospecies has since been considered synonymous (Romano and Whyte, 2015). In negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. J) *Rusophycus trefolia* in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. K) Open burrows ascribed to *Didymauliponomos rowei*, based upon a clearer example figured by Trewin and McNamara (1994) which was not directly observed during our work. Preserved in negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. L) *Planolites* isp. in positive epirelief from FA3 at Red Bluff. M) *Psammichnites* isp. originally ascribed to *Aulichnites*, this ichnogenus was considered synonymous (Mángano et al., 2003), and hence has been reassigned. In positive epirelief, from FA3 at Red Bluff. N) *Daedalus* isp. viewed in vertical section from FA4 at Rainbow Valley. O) *Heimdallia chatwini*, the most commonly observed trace fossil in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, in positive epirelief from FA2 at Little Z-Bend. P) Traces originally assigned to the new ichnogenus and ichnospecies ‘*Lunatubichnus wittecarrensis*’ (Trewin and McNamara, 1994), close examination has revealed they are in fact a product of unusual weathering of *Skolithos*, caused by cementation of the sediment surrounding the original burrow. As such, *L. wittecarrensis* is considered ichnotaxononomically invalid. Q) *Skolithos linearis*, viewed in vertical section from FA3 at Eagle Gorge. R) Burrows assigned to *Diplocraterion parallelum* based on a transverse section along a bedding surface. The trace appears to consist of paired burrows attached with spreite, from FA4 at Tumblagooda Hill. Black scale bar is 10 cm.




Figure 15 – Trace fossils in the Tumblagooda Sandstone identified for the first time in this study. A) *Siskemia* isp. B) *Beaconites capronus* in negative epirelief, from FA2 at The Loop. Note the paired medial grooves and 6 discernible footfall impressions per cycle. C) *Taenidium serpentinum* in positive epirelief from FA2 at Fourways. Note the large, homogenous meniscate infill and lack of a burrow lining. D) *Phycodes* isp. in positive epirelief from FA4 at Red Hill. E) *Helicodromites* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. The shaft of the burrow forms a tight, translated spiral. F) *Paradictyodora* isp. in positive epirelief from FA2 at the Z-Bend. In association with many examples of *Heimdallia chatwini*, this trace differs from the shape of the translated J-tube forming the main structure of the burrow, as it tapers downwards. G) *Trusheimichnus* isp. in both positive and negative epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. The sole example of this trace observed in the Tumblagooda Sandstone, and a new ichnospecies, note the circular depression to the right of the image, and the pyramidal mound to the left of this hollow. H) *Funalichnus strangulatus*, as a loose trace from ?FA4 at Eagle Gorge. This trace is distinguished most clearly from the frequently observed *Skolithos* by the pronounced ribbing down one side. I) *Laevicyclus* isp. observed in cross section from FA4 at

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Red Hill. Note the broad conical burrow top. J) Paired burrows observed in FA4 at Red Hill, assigned to *Arenicolites* isp. The lack of any evidence of internal spreite distinguishes these from the previously reported *Diplocraterion parallelum* (Trewin and McNamara, 1994). K) *Polarichnus* isp. observed in positive epirelief from FA2 at The Loop. Note the characteristic reversing menisci marking the top margin of the burrow. L) *Fascifodina* isp. observed in oblique section from FA2 at The Loop. Note the wide subvertical branches from the main shaft. Black Scale bar – 10 cm, Red scale bar – 5 cm.




Figure 16 – Multivariate cluster analysis using Ward’s method of trace fossil associations. This approach leads to the same six groupings of trace fossil associations as qualitative clustering based upon architectural designs present.

93x79mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 17 – Comparison of the typical length of Skolithos in the piperock fabric of FA4 vs those found as accessory traces in other associations, particularly in FA2. A) Representative Skolithos from FA4, beginning at the top surface beside the shrub and cutting down through all of the cross bedding. B) A representative Skolithos from FA2 occurring in planar bedding sandstone, showing the vastly shorter length (approximately 8x shorter). Black scale bar – 10 cm.




Figure 18 – A schematic view of coastal exposures of FA4, illustrating the consistency of palaeoflow and the locations within trough cross bedded units where burrows originate. A) A photo of an exposure at Rainbow Valley. B) A schematic drawing of the outcrop, highlighting the different trough cross bedded sediment packages. Flow directions are reported on bars below the line drawing following Davies et al. (2018), with colours corresponding to packages. The bar is aligned with the outcrop, drawn looking East. The red line illustrates the only surface where it is clear burrows originate – it appears that the system was only bioturbated during periods of stasis, following the deposition of all of the observed trough cross bedded sandstones. C) A photo of an exposure between Red Bluff and Mushroom Rock. D) The corresponding line drawing. Colours of sediment packages correspond to palaeocurrent measurements on the bars to the right of C. Bars are aligned with the outcrop, drawn looking North-East, and palaeoflow measurements are very similar to those reported in B. Again, the red line marks the only surface where it is clear burrows originated, at the top of the outcrop. Black scale bar – 1 m.

210x229mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 19 – Three examples of 'True substrates' from the Tumblagooda Sandstone. A) shows a surface from FA2 at The Loop, recording the sequence of events which occurred at the sloping edge of a body of water. The submergent parts of the surface show ripple marks in two different directions, those formed in the deeper water record a more dominant flow whereas those from the shallower margins record the lapping water at the edge of the pond. Up-slope adhesion marks reveal wind blowing sand across the damp surface, and a 'raft' tells of an animal dislodging a cohesive piece of the emergent surface, either whilst entering or exiting the water. Whatever the case, it is clear this shows evidence for subaerial locomotion. B) reveals further evidence for subaerial locomotion on a more extensive bedding surface, from FA2 at The Loop. Ripple marks occur across the whole surface, with 7 distinct *Diplichnites* trackways of different sizes crossing over them and each other. Most significantly, this surface records some original topography, as there are two large, shallow depressions where the ripples fade and into which the trackways disappear and reappear. These topographic lows are interpreted as ponds on the surface, not recording the trackways as the differing mechanical properties of the saturated sediment make it less stable and cohesive. This once again provides evidence for subaerial locomotion, punctuated by a return to the water. C) illustrates a surface from FA3 at

1
2
3 Red Bluff, recording the shaved tops of trough cross beds covered in Psammichnites trails such as the
4 surfaces described by Davies and Shillito (2018). This surface records a period of sedimentary stasis
5 following the deposition of the dune recorded as trough cross bedded sandstone and the erosional event that
6 shaved the top of it, during which the substrate was colonised by opportunistic grazing organisms. The
7 significance of this surface is that the subsequent depositional event, whilst occurring in an energetic
8 environment, had no erosional capacity and covered the grazing trails without destroying them. Black scale
9 bar – 10 cm.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 20 – Examples of where the 6 groups of trace fossil associations occur within the depositional environments responsible for the facies associations, following the overarching depositional setting illustrated in figure 13. A-F mark areas within the depositional environment where these groups of trace fossils occur, and the approximate ichnodisparity of each group of trace fossil associations is illustrated as pie charts. These pie charts show that all groups have a fairly low ichnodisparity (2-5) and each is dominated by traces of a single architectural design (although this is in part due to how associations were grouped). Typically, horizontal and sub-horizontal traces are most common in the intertidal facies, whereas vertical burrows are most common in the offshore facies of FA4.

210x181mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 21 – Pie charts showing the relative proportions of different architectural styles of trace fossil observed in facies associations 2, 3 and 4. Horizontal traces are marked in shades of red, vertical traces in shades of blue, and traces with both horizontal and vertical components in shades of purple. The expected relative proportions of horizontal to vertical traces in each facies association (based upon trace fossil information from figure 12 and dominant outcrop style from figures A, B, C) are shown in the small red-blue pie charts. This shows FA2 and FA3 both contain a far greater than expected proportion of horizontal trace fossils than can be accounted for by outcrop bias, and FA4 contains a higher than expected proportion of vertical traces, suggesting these signals are based on behavioural factors.

180x127mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 22 – Histograms comparing ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity in lower Palaeozoic settings with the Tumblagooda Sandstone (using data from Minter et al., 2016a,b). A) Bulk ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity for all marine, transitional, and non-marine settings during the middle Cambrian to middle Silurian, late Silurian and Devonian. Dark lines and numbers show the mean ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity within individual formations of the given ages and facies. B) Ichnodiversity and ichnodisparity for FA2, FA3 and FA4 of the Tumblagooda Sandstone.

210x290mm (300 x 300 DPI)